

(12) **United States Patent**
Ellis

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,057,212 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Aug. 21, 2018**

(54) **PERSONAL COMPUTER, SMARTPHONE, TABLET, OR SERVER WITH A BUFFER ZONE WITHOUT CIRCUITRY FORMING A BOUNDARY SEPARATING ZONES WITH CIRCUITRY**

(71) Applicant: **Frampton E. Ellis**, Jasper, FL (US)

(72) Inventor: **Frampton E. Ellis**, Jasper, FL (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/174,693**

(22) Filed: **Feb. 6, 2014**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**
US 2014/0282998 A1 Sep. 18, 2014

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 13/815,814, filed on Mar. 15, 2013, now Pat. No. 8,898,768.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06F 15/173 (2006.01)
G06F 13/40 (2006.01)
(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **H04L 63/02** (2013.01); **G06F 11/2043** (2013.01); **G06F 21/50** (2013.01);
(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC H04L 63/02; H04L 63/0209; G06F 21/85; G06F 21/50; G06F 11/2043; G06F 21/71;
(Continued)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,539,876 A 11/1970 Feinberg et al.
3,835,530 A 9/1974 Kilby
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 40 08 335 9/1991
EP 0 647 052 4/1995
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Shao, Fengjing, et al. "A new secure architecture of network computer based on single CPU and Dual Bus." Embedded Computing, 2008. SEC'08. Fifth IEEE International Symposium on. IEEE, 2008.*


(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Michael Simitoski
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Mendelsohn Dunleavy, P.C.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A personal computer a smartphone, a tablet, a web server or a cloud server configured for connection to a network of computers or system on a microchip including one or more buffer zones excluding circuitry and two or more zones, each including circuitry. The one or more buffer zones form one or more boundaries separating the zones including circuitry. At least a first of the zones including circuitry includes at least one public unit with a microprocessor and a network communication component. At least a second of the zones including circuitry includes at least one private unit with at least a separate, private network connection and a microprocessor that is a central controller of the computer. The public unit and the at least one private unit can be connected by at least one secure control bus that is isolated from input from a public network.

25 Claims, 22 Drawing Sheets



(51)	Int. Cl.		5,535,408 A	7/1996	Hillis	
	H04L 29/06	(2006.01)	5,546,594 A	8/1996	Wazumi	
	G06F 11/20	(2006.01)	5,550,984 A	8/1996	Gelb	
	G06F 21/71	(2013.01)	5,568,375 A	10/1996	Rausch	
	G06F 21/50	(2013.01)	5,570,270 A	10/1996	Naedel et al.	
	G06F 21/85	(2013.01)	5,572,643 A	11/1996	Judson	
	G06F 17/00	(2006.01)	5,576,554 A	11/1996	Hsu	
			5,586,121 A	12/1996	Moura et al.	
			5,587,928 A	12/1996	Jones et al.	
(52)	U.S. Cl.		5,588,003 A	12/1996	Ohba et al.	
	CPC	G06F 21/71 (2013.01); G06F 21/85	5,590,284 A	12/1996	Crosetto	
		(2013.01); H04L 63/0209 (2013.01)	5,592,376 A	1/1997	Hodroff	
			5,592,632 A	1/1997	Leung et al.	
(58)	Field of Classification Search		5,594,491 A	1/1997	Hodge et al.	
	CPC . H01L 21/76224; H01L 23/57; H05K 1/0216;		5,600,597 A	2/1997	Kean et al.	
	H05K 2201/09036; H05K 2201/093;		5,604,882 A	2/1997	Hoover et al.	
	H05K 2201/09663; H05K 1/0218; H05K		5,606,615 A	2/1997	LaPointe et al.	
	2201/09981; H05K 1/0206; H05K		5,608,448 A	3/1997	Smoral et al.	
	1/0219; H05K 1/0265		5,615,127 A	3/1997	Beatty et al.	
	See application file for complete search history.		5,627,879 A	5/1997	Russell et al.	
			5,666,484 A	9/1997	Orimo et al.	
			5,678,028 A	10/1997	Bershteyn et al.	
			5,680,461 A	10/1997	McManis	
			5,680,548 A	10/1997	Trugman	
			5,696,902 A	12/1997	Leclercq et al.	
			5,699,528 A	12/1997	Hogan	
			5,701,507 A	12/1997	Bonneau, Jr.	
			5,710,884 A	1/1998	Dedrick	
			5,734,913 A	3/1998	Iwamura et al.	
			5,748,489 A	5/1998	Beatty et al.	
			5,752,067 A	5/1998	Wilkinson et al.	
			5,754,766 A	5/1998	Shaw et al.	
			5,758,077 A	5/1998	Danahy et al.	
			5,758,345 A	5/1998	Wang	
			5,761,507 A	6/1998	Govett	
			5,764,889 A	6/1998	Ault et al.	
			5,774,337 A	6/1998	Lee et al.	
			5,774,668 A	6/1998	Choquier et al.	
			5,774,721 A	6/1998	Robinson	
			5,777,400 A *	7/1998	Bouthillier	307/91
			5,784,551 A	7/1998	De Leva et al.	
			5,784,628 A	7/1998	Reneris	
			5,790,431 A	8/1998	Ahrens, Jr. et al.	
			5,793,968 A	8/1998	Gregerson et al.	
			5,794,059 A	8/1998	Barker et al.	
			5,802,320 A	9/1998	Baehr et al.	
			5,809,190 A	9/1998	Chen	
			5,815,665 A	9/1998	Teper et al.	
			5,815,793 A	9/1998	Ferguson	
			5,826,014 A	10/1998	Coley	
			5,826,029 A	10/1998	Gore	
			5,828,833 A	10/1998	Belville et al.	
			5,835,726 A	11/1998	Shwed et al.	
			5,838,436 A	11/1998	Hotaling et al.	
			5,838,542 A *	11/1998	Nelson	G06F 1/184 174/373
			5,843,799 A	12/1998	Hsu et al.	
			5,844,594 A	12/1998	Ferguson	
			5,845,074 A	12/1998	Kobata	
			5,850,449 A	12/1998	McManis	
			5,861,817 A	1/1999	Palmer et al.	
			5,862,357 A	1/1999	Hagersten et al.	
			5,864,738 A	1/1999	Kessler et al.	
			5,870,721 A	2/1999	Norris	
			5,872,987 A	2/1999	Wade et al.	
			5,881,284 A	3/1999	Kubo	
			5,889,989 A	3/1999	Robertazzi et al.	
			5,894,551 A *	4/1999	Huggins et al.	726/3
			5,896,499 A	4/1999	McKelvey	
			5,905,429 A	5/1999	Hornstein et al.	
			5,909,052 A	6/1999	Ohta et al.	
			5,909,681 A	6/1999	Passera et al.	
			5,917,629 A	6/1999	Hortensius et al.	
			5,919,247 A	7/1999	Van Hoff et al.	
			5,930,511 A	7/1999	Hinsley	
			5,940,516 A *	8/1999	Mason et al.	713/159
			5,943,421 A	8/1999	Grabon	
			5,955,704 A *	9/1999	Jones et al.	174/262
			5,964,832 A	10/1999	Kisor	
(56)	References Cited					
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
	4,276,594 A	6/1981	Morley			
	4,278,837 A	7/1981	Best			
	4,245,306 A	11/1981	Besemer et al.			
	4,370,515 A *	1/1983	Donaldson	174/387		
	4,467,400 A	8/1984	Stopper			
	4,489,397 A	12/1984	Lee			
	4,703,436 A	10/1987	Varshney			
	4,736,317 A	4/1988	Hu et al.			
	4,747,139 A	5/1988	Taaffe			
	4,827,508 A	5/1989	Shear			
	4,855,903 A	8/1989	Carleton et al.			
	4,882,752 A	11/1989	Lindman et al.			
	4,907,228 A	3/1990	Bruckert			
	4,918,596 A	4/1990	Nakano			
	4,893,174 A	6/1990	Yamada et al.			
	4,969,092 A	11/1990	Shorter			
	5,025,369 A	6/1991	Schwartz			
	5,031,089 A	7/1991	Liu et al.			
	5,068,780 A	11/1991	Bruckert et al.			
	5,103,393 A	4/1992	Harris et al.			
	5,109,329 A	4/1992	Strelloff			
	5,109,512 A	4/1992	Bahr et al.			
	5,136,708 A	8/1992	LaPourtre et al.			
	5,155,808 A	10/1992	Shimizu			
	5,195,031 A	3/1993	Ordish			
	5,212,780 A	5/1993	Padgaorikar et al.			
	5,214,657 A	5/1993	Farnworth et al.			
	5,237,507 A	8/1993	Chasek			
	5,260,943 A	11/1993	Comroe et al.			
	5,282,272 A	1/1994	Guy et al.			
	5,283,819 A	2/1994	Glick et al.			
	5,291,494 A	3/1994	Bruckert et al.			
	5,291,502 A	3/1994	Pezeshki et al.			
	5,291,505 A	3/1994	Nielsen			
	5,341,477 A	8/1994	Pitkin et al.			
	5,349,682 A	9/1994	Rosenberry			
	5,357,404 A	10/1994	Bright et al.			
	5,357,632 A	10/1994	Pian et al.			
	5,361,362 A	11/1994	Benkeser et al.			
	5,381,534 A	1/1995	Shi			
	5,388,211 A	2/1995	Hornbuckle			
	5,392,400 A	2/1995	Berkowitz et al.			
	5,410,651 A	4/1995	Sekizawa et al.			
	5,426,741 A	6/1995	Butts, Jr. et al.			
	5,428,783 A	6/1995	Lake			
	5,434,998 A	7/1995	Akai			
	5,446,843 A	8/1995	Fucito et al.			
	5,457,797 A	10/1995	Butterworth et al.			
	5,475,606 A	12/1995	Muyshondt et al.			
	5,497,465 A	3/1996	Chin			
	5,515,511 A	5/1996	Nguyen et al.			
	5,522,070 A	5/1996	Sumimoto			
	5,530,949 A	6/1996	Koda			

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,978,829 A	11/1999	Chung et al.	8,291,485 B2	10/2012	Ellis, III
6,003,133 A	12/1999	Moughanni et al.	8,307,416 B2 *	11/2012	Conti 726/11
6,025,259 A *	2/2000	Yu et al. 438/618	8,312,529 B2	11/2012	Ellis
6,026,502 A *	2/2000	Wakayama 714/38.14	8,327,038 B2 *	12/2012	Alcouffe et al. 710/11
6,052,555 A	4/2000	Ferguson	8,378,474 B2	2/2013	Ellis
6,065,118 A	5/2000	Bull et al.	8,429,735 B2	4/2013	Ellis
6,067,082 A	5/2000	Enmei	8,474,033 B2	6/2013	Ellis
6,073,209 A	6/2000	Bergsten	8,554,748 B1 *	10/2013	Kamity G06F 9/44578
6,075,280 A *	6/2000	Yung et al. 257/620			707/634
6,078,733 A	6/2000	Osborne	8,677,026 B2 *	3/2014	Ellis, III 709/250
6,093,933 A	7/2000	Farnworth et al.	9,735,979 B2 *	8/2017	Al Amri H04M 11/062
6,098,091 A	8/2000	Kisor	2001/0039624 A1 *	11/2001	Kellum 713/201
6,108,787 A *	8/2000	Anderson et al. 726/5	2001/0046119 A1	11/2001	Hamano et al.
6,112,225 A	8/2000	Kraft et al.	2001/0054159 A1	12/2001	Hoshino
6,112,243 A	8/2000	Downs et al.	2002/0012240 A1 *	1/2002	Hailey 361/818
6,115,698 A	9/2000	Tuck et al.	2002/0122005 A1 *	9/2002	Lebaric et al. 343/700 MS
6,115,819 A	9/2000	Anderson	2002/0130739 A1 *	9/2002	Cotton 333/238
6,167,428 A *	12/2000	Ellis 709/201	2003/0146020 A1 *	8/2003	Brist et al. 174/262
6,202,153 B1	3/2001	Diamant et al.	2003/0229810 A1 *	12/2003	Bango G06F 21/56
6,208,634 B1	3/2001	Boulos et al.			726/24
6,219,627 B1	4/2001	Bonneau et al.	2004/0073603 A1	4/2004	Ellis
6,268,788 B1	7/2001	Gray	2004/0098621 A1	5/2004	Raymond
6,272,533 B1	8/2001	Browne	2004/0107358 A1 *	6/2004	Shiakallis 713/200
6,287,949 B1	9/2001	Mori et al.	2004/0158744 A1	8/2004	Deng et al.
6,326,245 B1	12/2001	Farnworth et al.	2004/0162992 A1	8/2004	Sami et al.
6,366,472 B2	4/2002	Alina et al.	2004/0215931 A1	10/2004	Ellis
6,440,775 B2	8/2002	Khoury	2004/0236874 A1	11/2004	Largman et al.
6,487,664 B1 *	11/2002	Kellum 726/22	2005/0028012 A1 *	2/2005	Amamiya G06F 21/6227
6,507,495 B1 *	1/2003	Hailey et al. 361/748			726/4
6,578,089 B1 *	6/2003	Simpson et al. 709/249	2005/0044358 A1 *	2/2005	Anspach et al. 713/165
6,578,140 B1	6/2003	Policard	2005/0073021 A1 *	4/2005	Gabriel et al. 257/510
6,645,832 B2	11/2003	Kim et al.	2005/0138169 A1	6/2005	Bahr
6,701,432 B1 *	3/2004	Deng et al. 713/153	2005/0180095 A1	8/2005	Ellis
6,715,084 B2 *	3/2004	Aaron et al. 726/23	2005/0278783 A1 *	12/2005	Chien et al. 726/22
6,725,250 B1	4/2004	Ellis	2006/0004912 A1 *	1/2006	Najam et al. 709/213
6,732,141 B2	5/2004	Ellis	2006/0031940 A1	2/2006	Rozman et al.
6,772,347 B1 *	8/2004	Xie H04L 29/06	2006/0075001 A1	4/2006	Canning et al.
		709/242	2006/0087010 A1 *	4/2006	Hong 257/676
			2006/0095497 A1	5/2006	Ellis
			2006/0095961 A1 *	5/2006	Govindarajan H04L 63/1416
					726/15
			2006/0168213 A1 *	7/2006	Richardson G06F 21/552
					709/225
6,797,545 B2	9/2004	Farnworth et al.	2006/0177226 A1	8/2006	Ellis
6,865,672 B1	3/2005	Carmeli	2006/0190565 A1	8/2006	Ellis
6,950,947 B1	9/2005	Purtell et al.	2006/0200471 A1 *	9/2006	Holland H04L 12/24
7,024,449 B1	4/2006	Ellis	2006/0205342 A1 *	9/2006	McKay et al. 455/11.1
7,035,906 B1	4/2006	Ellis	2006/0206921 A1 *	9/2006	Wang 726/3
7,047,275 B1	5/2006	Ellis	2006/0248749 A1	11/2006	Ellis
7,148,565 B2	12/2006	Kim et al.	2007/0127500 A1 *	6/2007	Maeng 370/395.52
7,161,175 B2	6/2007	Shau	2007/0162955 A1 *	7/2007	Zimmer et al. 726/2
7,236,455 B1 *	6/2007	Proudler et al. 370/230	2007/0162974 A1	7/2007	Speidel
7,406,583 B2 *	7/2008	Warrier et al. 712/13	2007/0196948 A1	8/2007	Trezza
7,412,588 B2	8/2008	Georgiou et al.	2007/0261112 A1	11/2007	Todd et al.
7,467,406 B2	12/2008	Cox et al.	2007/0263372 A1 *	11/2007	Kuo 361/818
7,484,247 B2	1/2009	Rozman et al.	2007/0290772 A1 *	12/2007	Lai 333/238
7,490,350 B1 *	2/2009	Murotake et al. 726/11	2007/0297396 A1 *	12/2007	Eldar H04L 63/08
7,506,020 B2 *	3/2009	Ellis 709/201			370/356
7,562,211 B2	7/2009	Paya et al.	2007/0300305 A1	12/2007	Gonsalves et al.
7,606,854 B2 *	10/2009	Ellis, III 709/201	2008/0016313 A1 *	1/2008	Murotake et al. 711/173
7,634,529 B2 *	12/2009	Ellis, III 709/201	2008/0052505 A1 *	2/2008	Theobald 713/1
7,675,867 B1 *	3/2010	Mraz et al. 370/254	2008/0083976 A1	4/2008	Haba et al.
7,761,605 B1	7/2010	Rothwell et al.	2008/0086763 A1 *	4/2008	Amamiya G06F 21/6227
7,805,756 B2	9/2010	Ellis			726/4
7,814,233 B2	10/2010	Ellis	2008/0134290 A1	6/2008	Olsson
7,840,997 B2	11/2010	Shevchenko	2008/0163353 A1 *	7/2008	Conti 726/11
7,908,650 B2	3/2011	Ellis	2008/0271122 A1 *	10/2008	Nolan et al. 726/4
7,926,097 B2	4/2011	Ellis	2009/0006834 A1 *	1/2009	Rothman et al. 713/2
7,984,301 B2	7/2011	Kaabouch et al.	2009/0026524 A1	1/2009	Kreupl et al.
8,010,789 B2	8/2011	Witchey	2009/0031412 A1	1/2009	Ellis
8,068,415 B2 *	11/2011	Mraz 370/230	2009/0165139 A1 *	6/2009	Yerazunis et al. 726/26
8,090,810 B1 *	1/2012	Insley G06F 11/3006	2009/0172690 A1 *	7/2009	Zimmer G06F 9/5077
		709/223			718/104
8,090,819 B1 *	1/2012	Ramamurthy H04L 12/24			
		709/224			
8,125,796 B2	2/2012	Ellis	2009/0200661 A1	8/2009	Ellis
8,164,170 B2	4/2012	Ellis	2009/0204831 A1 *	8/2009	Cousson et al. 713/322
8,171,537 B2	5/2012	Ellis	2009/0254986 A1	10/2009	Harris et al.
8,209,373 B2	6/2012	Ellis	2009/0282092 A1	11/2009	Ellis
8,255,986 B2	8/2012	Ellis	2010/0005531 A1 *	1/2010	Largman et al. 726/24

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2010/0011083	A1	1/2010	Ellis	
2010/0082729	A1*	4/2010	Shitomi	G06F 1/3203 709/202
2010/0131729	A1*	5/2010	Fulcheri et al.	711/163
2010/0169949	A1*	7/2010	Rothman	G06F 21/35 726/1
2010/0211705	A1*	8/2010	Alcouffe et al.	710/33
2011/0004930	A1	1/2011	Ellis	
2011/0004931	A1	1/2011	Ellis	
2011/0040917	A1*	2/2011	Lambert	H04L 69/18 710/301
2011/0225645	A1	9/2011	Ellis	
2012/0036581	A1*	2/2012	Maximilien et al.	726/26
2012/0096537	A1	4/2012	Ellis	
2012/0140929	A1*	6/2012	Clark et al.	380/277
2012/0155002	A1	6/2012	Ellis	
2012/0175752	A1	7/2012	Ellis	
2013/0160106	A1	6/2013	Ellis	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0 840216	5/1998
EP	0 853 279	7/1998
EP	1164766	A2 12/2001
EP	1 164 766	12/2011
WO	WO 94/01964	1/1994
WO	WO 95/01060	1/1995
WO	WO 98/26366	6/1998
WO	WO 9825372	A2 * 6/1998
WO	WO 99/04561	1/1999
WO	WO 99/32972	7/1999
WO	WO 9942915	A2 * 8/1999
WO	WO 2011/094616	8/2011
WO	WO 2011/103299	8/2011
WO	WO2011094616	A1 8/2011
WO	WO2011103299	A1 8/2011
WO	WO2012112794	A1 8/2012

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Sun, Gengxin, Fengjing Shao, and Sheng Bin. "A Private Data Transfer Protocol Based on a New High Secure Computer Architecture." *Journal of Networks* 7.1 (2012): 179-186.*

Wang, Shuangbao, Fengjing Shao, and Robert S. Ledley. "Computer-A Framework of Intrusion-Free Secure Computer Architecture." *Security and Management* 2006 (2006): 220-225.*

Fengjing Shao et al., "A new secure architecture of network computer based on single CPU and Dual Bus" Fifth IEEE International Symposium on Embedded Computing, pp. 309-314 (2008).

Tiedong Wang et al., "A Hardware implement of Bus Bridge Based on Single CPU and Dual Bus", 2008 International Symposium on Computer Science and Computational Technology, pp. 17-20 (2008).

Famatech "Radmin V3.0, User Manuel", Jun. 3, 2007 (203 pages). Connect One, iChip CO2064/CO2128/CO2144, 2011 (64 pages).

O. M. Woodward et al., "The Omniguide Antenna; An Omnidirectional Waveguide Array for UHF-TV Broadcasts", IRE International Convention Records, pp. 37-39, Mar. 1955.

Litzkow, et al., "Condor-A Hunter of Idle Workstations", 1988 IEEE, pp. 104-111.

Theimer, et al., "Finding Idle Machines in a Workstation-Based Distributed System", IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, Nov. 1989, vol. 15, No. 11, pp. 1444-1458.

Brown et al., Special Edition Using Netscape TM 2 Second Edition, Que Corporation, 1995, Ch. 1-2.

Gilder, "Angst and Awe on the Internet by George Gilder", Forbes ASAP, Dec. 4, 1995.

Tandiary, et al., "Batron: Utilizing Idle Workstations for Large-scale Computing", Summer 1996, pp. 41-48.

Brisbin, "Shopping for Internet Access" MacUser, Dec. 1994, v.10, p. 133(2).

Gilder, "The Bandwidth Tidal Wave", Forbes ASAP, Dec. 5, 1994.

N/A, "Special Report—Wonder Chips", Business Week, Jul. 4, 1994.

N/A, "Supercomputers Divide and Conquer", The Economist, Dec. 14, 1996.

N/A, "Cyber View World Widgets", Scientific American, May, 1997, p. 48.

Gibbs, "Bandwidth, Unlimited", Scientific American, Jan. 1997, p. 41.

Markoff, "A New Standard to Govern PC's with Multiple Chips, Work Stations Mimicking Supercomputers", The New York Times, Oct. 28, 1997.

N/A, "Aliens on your desktop", The Economist, Apr. 18, 1998, p. 78.

Hare et al., "Master the Complexities of Network Security", Internet Firewalls and Network Security, Second Edition, pp. 325-350 and 516, 1996.

Fox et al., Petaops and Exaops: Supercomputing on the Web, "IEEE Internet Computing", vol. 1, No. 2 Mar.-Apr. 1997, pp. 38-46.

Dincer et al., Building a World-Wide Virtual Machine Based on Web and HPC Technologies, "Student Technical Papers", <http://www.supercomp.org/sc96/proceedings/SC96PROC/DINCER/IN-DEX.HTM>, pp. 1-18 (1996).

Hobbs et al., A Remote Process Creation and Execution Facility Supporting Parallel Execution on Distributed Systems, "IEEE 1996", pp. 92-99.

Boku et al., The Architecture of Massively Parallel Processor CP-PACS, "IEEE 1997", pp. 31-40.

Choi et al., A Diagnostic Network for Massively Parallel Processing Systems, "IEEE 1994", pp. 348-353.

Bellovin et al., Network Firewalls, "IEEE Communications Magazine 1994", pp. 50-57.

Weiyi et al., "Java-to-Go—Itinerative Computing Using Java", Sep. 10, 1996 <http://ptolemy.eecs.berkeley.edu/dgm/javatools/java-to-go/>.

Sullivan et al., "A New Major SETI Project Based on Project Serendip Data and 100,000 Personal Computers". <http://setiathome.ss/berkeley.edu/woody-paper.htm/>; (1997).

"Ein-Chip-Firewall", Elektroniknet Top News, XP-002164257; Mar. 31, 1999.

"Means for Implementing Optical Interconnections for Parallel Processors", IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, Apr. 1991, vol. 33, No. 11, pp. 56-58, XP 000110310.

Alexandrov et al., "SuperWeb; Research Issues in Java-Based Global Computing", Concurrency, vol. 9, No. 6, Jun. 1997, pp. 535-553.

Baratloo et al., "Charlotte: Metacomputing on the Web", 9th International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing Systems (PDCS), 1996, pp. 1-8.

Bevinakoppa et al., "Digital Image Compression on a Network of Transputers", Proc. of 5th Australian Transputer & OCCAM User Group Conference, IOS Press, Amsterdam, pp. 25-32; Nov. 4, 1992.

Blumofe, R. et al., "Scheduling Large-Scale Parallel Computations on Networks of Workstations", Proc. of the 3rd IEEE Int'l Sump on High Performance Distributed Computing, pp. 96-105, Aug. 1994.

Fields, Scott, "Hunting for Wasted Computing Power—New Software for Computing Networks Plus Idle PC's to Work", 1993 University of Wisconsin—Madison. Internet: <http://www.cs.wise.edu/condor/doc/Wiseidea.html>.

Brecht et al., "ParaWeb: Towards World-Wide Supercomputing", Proceedings of the Seventh AcM SIGOPS European Workshop, Sep. 1996, 8 pgs.

Capello et al., "Market-Based Massively Parallel Internet Computing", Proceedings Third Working Conference on Massively Parallel Programming Models, 1998, pp. 118-129.

Celenk, M. et al., "Parallel Task Execution in LANs and Performance Analysis", Proc. of the 1995 IEEE 14th Annual Int'l Phoenix Conf. on Computers and Communications, pp. 423-429, Mar. 1995.

Chen, C. et al., "The DBC: Processing Scientific Data Over the Internet", Proc. of the 16th Int'l Conf. on Distributed Computing Systems, pp. 673-679, May 1996.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Clark, H. et al., "DAWGS-A Distributed Computer Server Utilizing Idle Workstations", Proc. of the 5th Distributed Memory Computing Conf., IEEE, pp. 732-741, Apr. 1990.
- Fogg, C., "Survey of Software and Hardware VLC Architectures", SPIE, vol. 2186, Image and Video Compression (1994), pp. 29-37.
- Fox et al., "Towards Web/Java based High Performance Distributed Computing-an Evolving Virtual Machine", as presented at 5th IEEE Int'l Symposium on High Performance Distributed Computing, Aug. 6-9, 1996, 86 pages.
- Fox, E., "Advances in Interactive Digital Multimedia Systems", Computer, Oct. 1991, pp. 9-21.
- Gemmell, et al., "Multimedia Storage Servers. a Tutorial", Computer, May 1995, pp. 40-49.
- Hayes, "Computing Science: Collective Wisdom", American Scientist, Mar.-Apr. 1998, pp. 1-8.
- Kim, B., "ATM Network: Goals and Challenges", Communications of the ACM, Feb. 1995, vol. 38, No. 2, pp. 39-44, 109.
- Kremien, O., "Buying and Selling Computational Power Over the Network", Proc. of the 4th Int'l Conf. on Computer Communications and Networks, IEEE, pp. 616-619, Sep. 1995.
- Lindley, C., "JPEG-Like Image Compression, Part 2", Dr. Dobb's Journal, Aug. 1995, pp. 62-66, 102-105.
- Lindley, C., "JPEG-Like Image Compression, Part 1", Dr. Dobb's Journal, Jul. 1995, pp. 50-58, 101-102.
- Morris, J. et al., "Fault Tolerant Networks of Workstations", Proc. of the 3rd Int'l. Conf. on High Performance Computing, IEEE, pp. 271-276, Dec. 1996.
- Nass, R., "Hardware-software combo could simplify MPEG real-time video compression", Electronic Design, May 3, 1993, p. 36.
- Nowatzyk et al., "Are Crossbars Really Dead? The Case for Optical Multiprocessor Interconnect Interconnect Systems", Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer Architecture, ACM, vol. 22, Jun. 1995, pp. 106-115, XP 000687800.
- Ozer, "Digital Video: Shot by Shot", PC Magazine, Apr. 11, 1995, pp. 104-107, 110.
- Ozer, J., "Why MPEG is Hot", PC Magazine; Apr. 11, 1995, pp. 130-131.
- Plotkin, "The Digital Compression Facility—A Solution to Today's Compression Needs", 1994 IEEE, pp. 445-449.
- Qiao et al., "Time-Division Optical Communications in Multiprocessor Arrays", ACM, 1991, pp. 644-653, XP 000337522.
- Rincon et al., "The Changing Landscape of System-on-a-chip Design", MicroNews, Third Quarter 1999, www.chips.ibm.com/micronews/vol5_no3/rincon.html, pp. 1-10, vol. 5, No. 3.
- Sachs, M. et al., "LAN and I/O Convergence: A Survey of the Issues", Computer, Dec. 1994, pp. 24-32.
- Sakano, et al., "A Three-Dimensional Mesh Multiprocessor System Using Board-to-Board Free-Space Optical Interconnects: COSINE-III", IEEE, 1993, pp. 278-283, XP 000463415.
- Schroeder, E. "New Offerings Buoy MPEG as Video Standard", Desktop Computing, PC Week, May 8, 1995, pp. 1 and 29.
- Shen et al., "A Parallel Implementation of an MPEG1 Encoder: Faster than Real-Time!", Proc. of SPIE Conf. on Digital Video Compression, San Jose, CA, Feb. 1995.
- McHenry et al., "An FPGA-Based Coprocessor for ATM Firewalls", Field-Programmable Custom Computing Machines 1997, Apr. 16-18, 1997, pp. 30-39, XP-002157218.
- Shiuan, J. et al., "Client-Server Based Ray-Tracer Using ASTRA: An Asynchronous RPC Mechanism", Computer Communications, vol. 19, No. 5, pp. 445-455, May 1996.
- Szabo, B. et al., "Design Considerations for JPEG Video and Synchronized Audio in a Unix Workstation Environment", USENIX-Summer '91, pp. 353-368, (1991).
- Vetter, R., "ATM Concepts, Architectures, and Protocols", Communications of the ACM, Feb. 1995; vol. 38, No. 2, pp. 30-38, 109.
- Vetter, R. et al., "Issues and Challenges in ATM Networks", Communications of the ACM, Feb. 1995; vol. 38, No. 2, pp. 28-29.
- Waldspurger et al., "Spawn: A Distributed Computational Economy", IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. 18, No. 2, Feb. 1992, pp. 103-117 XP002124500.
- Yoshida, J., "MPEG-2 Encoders Make Show of Force at NAB", Electronic Engineering Times, Apr. 10, 1995.
- Yu, Y. et al., "Software Implementation of MPEG-II Video Encoding Using Socket Programming in LAN", SPIE vol. 2187, pp. 229-240, 1994.
- Waltz et al., "Make 'em pay: Billing Net Usage", MacWeek, vol. 6 (No. 27), p. 24 (2) (Dialog full text), (Jul. 27, 1992).
- "The Economics of Network Billing: Network Billing and Monitoring Systems can Improve Efficiency and Cut Costs", IBM System User, vol. 14 (No. 11), p. 53 (1) (Dialog Fulltext), (Nov. 1993).
- "Let Your Computer Make Money While You Sleep", Newsbytes, p. 1 (Dialog Fulltext), (Aug. 16, 1996).
- Regenold et al., "A Single-Chip Multiprocessor DSP Solution for Communication Applications", ASIC Conference and Exhibit 1994, pp. 437-440.
- Geppert, L. Solid State [Trend/Development], IEEE Spectrum, v. 33, iss. 1, 1996, pp. 51-55.
- Li, Yao, "Free-space Optical Bus-based WDMA Interconnects for Parallel Computation", LEOS '92 Conference Proceedings, Lasers and Electron-Optics Society Annual Meeting, p. 588-589, Nov. 16-19, 1992.
- Dickinson et al., "An Integrated Free Space Optical Bus", 1989 IEEE International Conference on Computer Design, VLSI in Computers and Processors, p. 62-65, Oct. 2-4, 1989.
- Natarajan et al., "Bi-Directional Optical Backplane Bus for General Purpose Multi-Processor", Journal of Lightwave Technology, vol. 13, No. 6, p. 1031-1040, Jun. 6, 1995.
- Zhao et al., "General Purpose Bidirectional Optical Backplane: High Performance Bus for Multiprocessor Systems", Massively Parallel Processing Using Optical Interconnections, 2nd International Conference, p. 188-195, Oct. 23-24, 1995.
- Wu et al., "Microprocessor Control Signal Transmission Through Optical Fiber", Conference Record of 1992, IEEE Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting, p. 1747-1750, Oct. 4-9, 1992.
- Fox et al., "Towards Web/Java based High Performance Distributed Computing-an Evolving Virtual Machine", www.npac.syr.edu/projects/webspace/doc/hpdc5/paper, Jun. 10, 1996, 11 pages.
- None, "PC Vision: Intel unveils plans to bring PCs to Vehicles"; EDGE: Work-Group Computing Report, EDGE Publishing, p. 1-2 (Oct. 28, 1996).
- The American Heritage College Dictionary 4th Ed.—definition of "firewall" (2007).
- White, "Covert Distributed Processing with Computer Viruses", Advances in Cryptology, Crypto 89, Springer LNCS, v. 435, pp. 616-619. (1989).
- Foster et al., "The Grid: Blueprint for a New Computing Infrastructure", Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Inc., 1998.
- Hwang et al., "Scalable Parallel Computing", WCB McGraw-Hill, 1998.
- Wilkinson, et al., "Parallel Programming", Prentice Hall, 1998.
- Patterson et al., "Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach" (2nd Edition), Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Inc., 1996.
- Culler et al., "Parallel Computer Architecture". Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Inc., 1998.
- Hennessy et al., "Computer Organization and Design", Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Inc., 1998.
- Slater, "The Microprocessor Today", IEEE Micro 1996, pp. 32-44.
- Steinert-Threlkeld; "New Breed of Chip TI develops a super circuit"; The Sun Baltimore; May 4, 1992.
- Dallas Morning News; "LSI holds big plans for tiny chips Versatility of 'system on a chip' creates niche in microelectronics," Mar. 4, 1996.
- Mokhoff; "System-on-a-chip comes to wireless arena," Electronic Engineering Times; Feb. 12, 1996.
- Cindi; "System on a Chip stars at ISSCC," Electronic News; Feb. 19, 1996.
- Ang; "System-on-a-chip to define next-generation set-top box"; Electronic Engineering Times; Dec. 15, 1995.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Marc; "New family of microprocessor cores from LSI Logic extends customers' system-on-a-chip design capability" Nov. 7, 1994.
- Wall Street Journal; "Technology Brief—Advance Micro Devices Inc.: Company unveils Microchip for Hand-Held Computers"; Oct. 18, 1993.
- Geisinger, Patrick et al. "Microprocessors circa 2000," IEEE Spectrum, Oct. 1989 pp. 43-47.
- Yu, Albert. "The Future of Microprocessors," IEEE Micro, Dec. 1996, pp. 46-53.
- McWilliams. "Dell to Phase Out Computers Using Intel's Itanium," The Wall Street Journal, Online, Sep. 15, 2005.
- David Pescovitz, "Power of the PC", Scientific American, pp. 27-28 (Apr. 2000).
- Stephen H. Wildstrom, "The Problem with Firewalls", Business Week, pp. 25 (Mar. 20, 2000).
- J. McH., "Build Your Own Supercomputer", Forbes, pp. 228 (Nov. 15, 1999).
- Wilkinson, Barry et al., "Parallel Programming Techniques and Applications Using Networked Workstations and Parallel Computers", Chapter 4, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1999.
- Baker, Lou et al., "Parallel Programming", Chapter 4, McGraw Hill Companies, Inc., 1996.
- Kayssi, A.; Hank, L.; Ferzli, R.; Fawaz, M: "FPGA-based Internet Protocol Firewall Chip": Electronics, Circuits and Systems, 2000. ICECS 2000. The 7th IEEE International Conference on vol. 1, Dec. 17-20, 2000 pp. 316-319 vol. 1.[retrieved from IEEE database 6.9.08].
- English language abstract of EP 0 647 052, published Apr. 5, 1995.
- Newton's Telecom Dictionary, "Mobile IP"; p. 459; Mar. 1998.
- Holographic Quantum Computer, <http://www.unitelnw.com/holo1/index> (May 1999).
- Jonathan Fahey, "Screen Grab"; Forbes, pp. 52-53, Mar. 5, 2001.
- Ronald Grover et al., "TV Guy", Business Week, pp. 66-76, Mar. 12, 2001.
- "Distributed Computing", Red Herring, No. 87, pp. 166-202, Dec. 18, 2000.
- Om Malik, "Distributed Computing Redefines Computer Networks, Underpinning Innovation, Company Formation, and Investments", Red Herring, No. 86, pp. 95-96 and 105, Dec. 4, 2000.
- Alan Zeichick, "P2P Nework Explained", Red Herring, No. 86, pp. 204 and 206, Dec. 4, 2000.
- "Napster is Clouding Grove's Crystal Ball"; Fortune, pp. 271-272, May 29, 2000.
- Gordon Force, Sr., "Portable Data Encryption Approaches", WESTCON/95, Conference Record: Microelectronics Communications Technology Producing Quality Production Mobile and Portable Power emerging Technologies, Nov. 7-9, 1995, pp. 413-419.
- A.D. Romig, Jr., "High Performance Microsystem Packaging: A Perspective", Microelectron Reliab., vol. 37, No. 10/11, pp. 1771-1781 (1997).
- File History of U.S. Appl. No. 11/329,423.
- File History of U.S. Appl. No. 12/164,661.
- File History of U.S. Appl. No. 12/822,928.
- File History of U.S. Appl. No. 12/829,120.
- File History of U.S. Appl. No. 13/016,149 from Jul. 23, 2013 to present.
- File History of U.S. Appl. No. 13/018,089 from Jul. 24, 2013 to present.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/783,351.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 14/328,587.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 14/092,707.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 12/364,745.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 12/499,555.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/240,842 from Aug. 30, 2013 to present.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/283,274.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/495,867 from Aug. 7, 2013 to present.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/594,614.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/599,484 from Aug. 1, 2013 to present.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/404,888 from Aug. 30, 2013 to present.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 09/884,041.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 11/196,527.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 09/315,026.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 09/571,558.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 10/684,657.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 10/802,049.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 09/085,755.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 08/980,058.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 09/935,779.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 09/669,730.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 11/338,887.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 09/768,582.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 09/213,875.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 10/663,911.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/180,164.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 12/292,769.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 12/292,553.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/426,133.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 14/230,713.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/768,582.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/815,814.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/931,080.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/761,126.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/404,888.
- Famatech, Redmin v3.0, User Manual, 2007.
- Connect One. "iChip CO2064/CO2144 Data Sheet Ver. 1.20", 2011.
- Shao, Fengjing et al., "A New Secure Architecture of Network computer Based on Single CPU and Dual Bus", Fifth IEEE International Symposium on Embedded Computing, 2008.
- Wang, Tiedong et al. "A Hardware Implement of bus Bridge Based on single CPU and Dual Bus", 2008 International Symposium on computer Science and Computational Technology, 2008.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/014,201.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/016,527.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/328,697.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/555,750.
- International Search Report and Written Opinion; dated May 4, 2012 for corresponding International Application No. PCT/US2012/025481.
- Complete file history for U.S. Appl. No. 13/398,403.
- Lampson, B., "Accountability and Freedom," Cambridge Computer Seminar, Cambridge, UK, 2005, pp. 1-26.
- Vasudevan, A., et al., "Lockdown: A Safe and Practical Environment for Security Applications," CMU-CyLab-09-011 (2009), pp. Title Page—18.
- Notice of Allowance; dated Jan. 16, 2015 for corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 14/334,283.
- Notice of Allowance; dated Dec. 19, 2014 for corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 14/333,759.

* cited by examiner

**PERSONAL COMPUTER, SMARTPHONE,
TABLET, OR SERVER WITH A BUFFER
ZONE WITHOUT CIRCUITRY FORMING A
BOUNDARY SEPARATING ZONES WITH
CIRCUITRY**

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/815,814, filed on Mar. 15, 2013, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/398,403 filed on Feb. 16, 2012 which claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61/457,184, filed Feb. 15, 2011; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/457,297, filed Feb. 18, 2011; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/457,976, filed Jul. 26, 2011; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/457,983, filed Jul. 28, 2011; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/573,006, filed Aug. 2, 2011; and U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/573,007, filed Aug. 3, 2011.

This application is also a continuation-in-part of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/014,201, filed Jan. 26, 2011. U.S. application Ser. No. 13/014,201 claims benefit to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,337 filed Jan. 26, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,378, filed Jan. 29, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,478, filed Feb. 17, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,503, filed Feb. 22, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,861, filed Apr. 12, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/344,018, filed May 7, 2010; and U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/457,184, filed Jan. 24, 2011.

This application is also a continuation-in-part of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/016,527 filed Jan. 28, 2011. U.S. application Ser. No. 13/016,527 claims benefit to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,378, filed Jan. 29, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,478, filed Feb. 17, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,503, filed Feb. 22, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,861, filed Apr. 12, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/344,018, filed May 7, 2010; and U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/457,184, filed Jan. 24, 2011.

This application is also a continuation-in-part of PCT Application No. PCT/US011/023028, filed Jan. 28, 2011. PCT Application No. PCT/US011/023028 claims benefit to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,378, filed Jan. 29, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,478, filed Feb. 17, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,503, filed Feb. 22, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,861, filed Apr. 12, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/344,018, filed May 7, 2010; and U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/457,184, filed Jan. 24, 2011. PCT Application No. PCT/US011/023028 also claims benefit to U.S. application Ser. No. 13/014,201, filed Jan. 26, 2011.

This application is also a continuation-in-part of PCT Application No. PCT/US011/025257, filed Feb. 17, 2011. PCT Application No. PCT/US011/025257 claims the right to priority based on U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,478, filed Feb. 17, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,503, filed Feb. 22, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/282,861, filed Apr. 12, 2010; U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/344,018, filed May 7, 2010; and U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/457,184, filed Jan. 24, 2011. PCT Application No. PCT/US011/025257 also claims the right to priority based on U.S. Nonprovisional patent application Ser. No. 13/014,

201, filed Jan. 26, 2011, and U.S. Nonprovisional patent application Ser. No. 13/016,527, filed Jan. 28, 2011.

The contents of all of these provisional and nonprovisional patent applications are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

BACKGROUND

This invention relates to any computer of any form, such as a personal computer and/or microchip, that has an inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall that establishes a private unit that is disconnected from a public unit, the public unit being configured for a connection to a public network of computers including the Internet. In addition, the computer's private unit is configured for a separate connection to at least one non-Internet-connected private network for administration, management, and/or control of the computer and/or microchip, locally or remotely, by either a personal user or a business or corporate entity.

More particularly, this invention relates to a computer and/or microchip with an inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall separating the private unit that is not connected to the Internet from a public unit connected to the Internet, the private and public units being connected only by a hardware-based access barrier or firewall in the form of a secure, out-only bus or equivalent wireless connection. Even more particularly, this invention relates to the private and public units also being connected by an in-only bus (or equivalent wireless connection) that includes a hardware input on/off switch or equivalent signal interruption mechanism, including an equivalent circuit on a microchip or nanochip (or equivalent wireless connection). Still more particularly, this invention relates to the private and public units being connected by an output on/off switch or microcircuit or nanocircuit equivalent on the secure, out-only bus (or equivalent wireless connection).

In addition, this invention relates to a computer and/or microchip that is connected to a another computer and/or microchip, the connection between computers being made with the same hardware-based access barriers or firewalls including potentially any of the buses and on/off switches described in the preceding paragraph.

Finally, this invention relates to a computer and/or microchip with hardware-based access barriers or firewalls used successively between an outer private unit, an intermediate more private unit, an inner most private unit, and the public unit (or units), with each private unit potentially being configured for a connection to a separate private network. Also, Faraday Cage protection from external electromagnetic pulses for part or all of the computer and/or microchip can be provided.

By way of background, connecting computers to the Internet has immense and well known benefits today, but also has created overwhelming security problems that were not imagined when the basic architecture of modern electronic computers was developed in 1945, which was about twenty years before networks came into use. Even then, those first networks involved a very limited number of connected computers, had low transmission speeds between them, and the network users were generally known to each other, since most networks were relatively small and local.

In contrast, the number of computers connected to the Internet today is greater by a factor of many millions, broadband connection speeds are faster by a similar magnitude, the network connections stretch worldwide and connect to hundreds of thousands of bad actors whose identity is not easily or quickly known, if ever. Indeed, the

Internet of today allows the most capable criminal hackers direct access to any computer connected to the Internet. This inescapable reality of the Internet has created a huge and growing threat to military and economic security worldwide. At the same time, connection to the Internet has become the communication foundation upon which both the global economy and individual users depend every day.

In summary, then, computer connection to the Internet is mandatory in today's world, so disconnection is not a feasible option, given the existing global dependence on the Internet. But those unavoidable connections have created a seemingly inherent and therefore unsolvable security problem so serious that it literally threatens the world. So Internet connection today is both unavoidable and unavoidably unsafe.

Past efforts to provide Internet security have been based primarily on conventional firewalls that are positioned externally, physically and/or functionally, between the computer and an external network like the Internet. Such conventional firewalls provide a screening or filtering function that attempts to identify and block incoming network malware. But because of their functionally external position, conventional firewalls must allow entry to a significant amount of incoming traffic, so either they perform their screening function perfectly, which is an impossibility, or at least some malware unavoidably gets into the computer and just a single instance of malware can cause a crash or worse. Once the malware is in, the von Neumann architecture of current computers provides only software protection, which is inherently vulnerable to malware attack, so existing computers are essentially indefensible from successful attack from the Internet, which has provided an easy, inexpensive, anonymous, and effective means for the worst of all hackers worldwide to access any computer connected to it.

SUMMARY

Therefore, computers cannot be successfully defended without inner hardware or firmware-based access barriers or firewalls that, because of their internal position, can be designed much more simply to function as a access barrier or blockers rather than as general filters. This is a distinct difference. An Internet filter has to screen any network traffic originating from anywhere in the entire Internet, which is without measure in practical terms and is constantly, rapidly changing, an incredibly difficult if not impossible screening task. In contrast, an access barrier or blocker to an inner protected area of a computer can strictly limit access to only an exception basis. So, in simple terms, a conventional firewall generally grants access to all Internet traffic unless it can be identified as being on the most current huge list of ever changing malware; in contrast, an inner access barrier or blocker can simply deny access to all network traffic, with the only exception being a carefully selected and very short and conditioned list of approved and authenticated sources or types of traffic to which access is not denied.

Such a massively simpler and achievable access blocking function allowing for a much simpler and efficient mechanism for providing reliable security. Whereas a conventional but imperfect firewall requires extremely complicated hardware with millions of switches and/or firmware and/or software with millions of bits of code, the hardware-based access barriers described in this application require as little as a single simple one-way bus and/or another simple one-way bus with just a single switch and/or both simple

buses, each with just a single switch. This extraordinarily tiny amount of hardware is at the absolute theoretical limit and cannot be less.

With this new and unique access denial approach, a computer and/or microchip can be simply and effectively defended from Internet malware attack with one or more hardware-based private, protected units (or zones or compartments) inside the computer. Similar to Java Sandboxes in terms of overall function, but far more effective because hardware-based. Any or all of these private units can be administrated, managed, and/or controlled by a personal or corporate computer user through the use of one or more separate and more secure non-Internet private networks. By thus avoiding any connection whatsoever to the generally insecure public Internet, connection of the computer's private unit to the secure private network allows for all the well known speed, efficiency and cost effectiveness of network connection while still completely avoiding the incalculable risk of Internet connection.

Volatile memory like Flash that is read/write can function as inexpensive read-only memory (ROM) when located in the Private Unit(s) because can be protected by an access barrier or firewall against writing. Furthermore, it can even be protected against unauthorized reading, unlike ROM. Finally, it can be written to when authorized by the central controller to update an operating system or download an app, for example, again unlike ROM.

In addition, field programmable gate arrays can be used in the private and public units, as well as in the access barriers or firewalls, and can be securely controlled by the computer or microchip central controller through the secure control bus to actively change security and other configurations, thus providing for the first time a dynamic and proactive hardware defense against Internet malware attacks.

This application hereby expressly incorporates by reference in its entirety U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/684,657 filed Oct. 15, 2003 and published as Pub. No. US 2005/0180095 A1 on Aug. 18, 2005 and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/292,769 filed Nov. 25, 2008 and published as Pub. No. US 2009/0200661 A1 on Aug. 13, 2009.

Also, this application hereby expressly incorporates by reference in its entirety U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/802,049 filed Mar. 17, 2004 and published as Pub. No. US 2004/0215931 A1 on Oct. 28, 2004; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/292,553 filed Nov. 20, 2008 and published as Pub. No. US 2009/0168329 A1 on Jul. 2, 2009; and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/292,769 filed Nov. 25, 2008 and published as Pub. No. US 2009/0200661 A1 on Aug. 13, 2009.

Finally, this application hereby expressly incorporates by reference in its entirety U.S. Pat. No. 6,167,428 issued 26 Dec. 2000, U.S. Pat. No. 6,725,250 issued 20 Apr. 2004, U.S. Pat. No. 6,732,141 issued 4 May 2004, U.S. Pat. No. 7,024,449 issued 4 Apr. 2006, U.S. Pat. No. 7,035,906 issued 25 Apr. 2006, U.S. Pat. No. 7,047,275 issued 16 May 2006, U.S. Pat. No. 7,506,020 issued 17 Mar. 2009, U.S. Pat. No. 7,606,854 issued 20 Oct. 2009, U.S. Pat. No. 7,634,529 issued 15 Dec. 2009, U.S. Pat. No. 7,805,756 issued 28 Sep. 2010, and U.S. Pat. No. 7,814,233 issued 12 Oct. 2010.

Definitions and reference numerals are the same in this application as in the above incorporated '657, '769, '049 and '553 U.S. Applications, as well as in the above incorporated '428, '250, '141, '449, '906, '275, '020, '854, '529, '756, and '233 U.S. Patents.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 shows any computer of any type or size or design, such as a personal computer **1** and/or microchip **90** (and/or

501) or nanochip with an inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall 50 establishing a Private Unit (or zone or compartment) 53 of the computer or microchip that is disconnected from a Public Unit (or zone or compartment) 54 that is connected to the generally insecure public Internet 3 (and/or another, intermediate network 2 that is connected to the Internet 3). FIG. 1 also shows an example embodiment of the Private Unit 53 having at least one separate connection to at least one separate, more secure non-Internet-connected private network 52 for personal or local administration of a computer such as the personal computer 1 and/or microchip 90 (and/or 501) and/or silicon wafer 1500 (or portion 1501, 1502, and/or 1503), or graphene equivalent. The number and placement of the non-Internet-connected networks 52 and the use of active configuration of the connection is optional.

FIG. 2 shows an example embodiment similar to that shown in FIG. 1, including a personal computer 1 and/or microchip 90 (and/or 501) with an inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall 50 separating a Private Unit 53 disconnected from the Internet 3 and a Public Unit 54 connected to the Internet 3, but with the Private Unit 53 and Public Unit 54 connected only by a hardware-based access barrier or firewall 50a, for example in the form of a secure, out-only bus (or wire) or channel 55 (or in an alternate embodiment, a wireless connection, including radio or optical).

FIG. 3 is an example embodiment similar to that shown in FIG. 2, but with the Private Unit 53 and Public Unit 54 connected by a hardware-based access barrier or firewall 50b example that also includes an in-only bus or channel 56 that includes a hardware input on/off switch 57 or equivalent function signal interruption mechanism, including an equivalent functioning circuit on a microchip or nanochip.

FIG. 4 is a similar example embodiment to that shown in FIGS. 2 and 3, but with Private Unit 53 and Public Unit 54 connected by a hardware-based access barrier or firewall 50c example that also includes an output on/off switch 58 or microcircuit equivalent on the secure, out-only bus or channel 55.

FIG. 5 shows an example embodiment of any computer such as a first personal computer 1 and/or microchip 90 (and/or 501) that is connected to a second computer such as a personal computer 1 and/or microchip 90 (and/or 501), the connection between computers made with the same hardware-based access barrier or firewall 50c example that includes the same buses or channels with on/off switches or equivalents as FIG. 4.

FIG. 6 shows an example embodiment of a personal computer 1 and/or microchip 90 (and/or 501) similar to FIGS. 23A and 23B of the '657 application, which showed multiple access barriers or firewalls 50 with progressively greater protection, but with hardware-based access barriers or firewalls 50c, 50b, and 50a used successively from an inner private unit 53, to an intermediate more private unit 53¹, and to an inner most private unit 53², respectively; each Private Unit 53, 53¹, and 53² has at least one separate connection to at least one separate private or limited-access network.

FIG. 7 shows a schematic illustration of a classic Von Neumann computer hardware architecture.

FIGS. 8-14 are additional architectural schematic embodiment examples of 48 the use of hardware-based access barriers or firewalls 50a, 50b, and 50c to create multiple compartments, as well as secure control buses and Faraday Cages.

FIGS. 15 and 16 show a lock mechanism 51 in access barrier/firewall 50 that enables a highly controlled method of

transferring data or code between computer or microchip units separated by 50, such as between a Private Unit 53 and a Public Unit 54.

FIG. 17A shows a buffer zone 350 without circuitry in any process layer in the zone, which functions to prevent hidden backdoor connections between microchip (or computer) units separated by an access barrier/firewall 50, such as between a Private Unit 53 and a Public Unit 54; FIG. 17B shows a cross section of the FIG. 17A embodiment.

FIG. 18 is like FIG. 6, but shows an embodiment with the central controller (C) positioned in Private Unit 53¹ and a secondary controller (S) 32 in Private Unit 53².

FIGS. 19 and 20 illustrate methods in accordance with the present disclosure.

FIGS. 21A, 21B, 21C and 21D are simplified diagrams of a section of a computer network, such as the Internet, showing an embodiment of a system architecture utilizing a firewall to separate that part of a networked PC (including a system reduced in size to a microchip) that is accessible to the network for shared processing from a part that is kept accessible only to the PC user.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EXAMPLE EMBODIMENTS

FIGS. 1-4, 6, 8-14 all show useful architectural example embodiments of any computer or microchip, including a personal computer 1 and/or microchip 90 (and/or 501) or silicon wafer (or graphene equivalent) 1500 (wafer or wafer portion 1501, 1502, and/or 1503, as described in FIGS. 19-26 and associated text of the '553 application, which are incorporated by reference herein); tablets, smartphones, servers (including blades) and cloud or supercomputer arrays are other well known examples of computers. The computer shown has an inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall 50 establishing a secure Private Unit (or zone or compartment) 53 that is directly controlled by a user 49 (local in this example) and disconnected by hardware-based access barrier or firewall 50 from a Public Unit (or zone or compartment) 54 that is connected to the open, public and generally insecure Internet 3 and/or another, intermediate network 2; the connection of the computer 1 (and/or 90 and/or 501 and/or 1500 or 1501, 1502, or 1503) to the network 2 and/or Internet 3 can be wired 99 or wireless 100.

Hardware-based access barrier or firewall 50 (or 50a, 50b, or 50c) as used in this application refers to an access barrier that includes one or more access barrier or firewall-specific hardware and/or firmware components. This hardware and/or firmware configuration is in contrast to, for example, a computer firewall common in the art that includes only software and general purpose hardware, such as an example limited to firewall-specific software running on the single general purpose microprocessor or CPU of a computer.

The Internet-disconnected Private Unit 53 includes a master controlling device (M or CC) 30 for the computer PCI (and/or a master controller unit 93 for the microchip 90 and/or 501) that can include a microprocessor or processing unit and thereby take the form of a general purpose microprocessor or CPU, for one useful example, or alternatively only control the computer as a master controller 31 or master controller unit 93' (with relatively little or no general purpose processing power compared to the processing units or cores of the computer or microchip being controlled). The user 49 controls the master controlling device 30 (or 31 or 93 or 93') located in the Private Unit 53 and controls both the Private Unit 53 at all times and any part or all of the Public Unit 54 selectively, but can preemptorily control any and all

parts of the Public Unit **54** at the discretion of the user **49** through active intervention or selection from a range of settings, or based on standard control settings by default, using for example a secure control bus **48** (to be discussed later). The Public Unit **54** typically can include one or more cores or general purpose microprocessors **40** or **94** and/or graphics-based microprocessors **68** or **82** that are designed for more general operations and not limited to graphics-related operations, including very large numbers of either or both types of microprocessors, and potentially including one or more secondary controllers **32**, as well as any number of specialized or single-function microprocessors.

The inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall has the capability of denying access to said protected portion of the computer **1** or microchip **90** by a generally insecure public network including the Internet, while permitting access by any other computer in the public network including the Internet to said one or more of the processing units included in the unprotected portion of the computer **1** or microchip **90** for an operation with said any other computer in the public network including the Internet when the computer is connected to the public network including the Internet. The operation can be any computer operation whatsoever involving some interaction between two computers including simply sending and/or receiving data and also including, but not limited to, specific examples such as searching, browsing, downloading, streaming, parallel processing, emailing, messaging, file transferring or sharing, telephoning or conferencing.

More particularly, FIG. **1** shows a useful example of an optional (one or more) private network **52**, which is more secure by being, for example, closed and disconnected from the Internet **3** (permanently or temporarily) and/or by having controlled access, to be used for administration and/or management and/or control of the Private Unit **53**, including for example by a business enterprise. Wired **99** connection directly to the Private Unit **53** offers superior security generally for the closed and secure private network **52**, but wireless **100** connection is a option, especially if used with a sufficiently high level of encryption and/or other security measures, including low power radio signals of high frequency and short range and/or directional, as well as frequency shifting and other known wireless security measures. Access from the private non-Internet-connected network **52** can be limited to only a part of the Private Unit **53** or to multiple parts or to all of the Private Unit **53**.

FIG. **1** shows a computer **1** and/or microchip **90** (and/or **501** and/or **1500**, **1501**, **1502**, or **1503**) with the at least one Public Unit **54** and the at least one Private Unit **53**. The at least one Public Unit **54** is configured for connection to the Internet **3**, either directly or through at least one intermediate network **2**. The at least one Private Unit **53** is disconnected from the networks **2** and **3** by the access barrier/firewall **50** and is connected to only a private network **52** from a network connection location in the Private Unit **53**. The Public Unit **54** is connected to network **2** and/or **3** from a separate network connection location in the Public Unit **54**. Separate and distinct network connection components **98** for separate wired **99** and/or wireless **100** network connections are shown at the at least two separate and distinct network connection locations, one location in the Private Unit (or units) **53** and the other location in Public Unit **54** indicated in FIG. **1** and FIGS. **2-11**, **12-14** and **18**.

Such a one or more private non-Internet-connected network **52** (not connected to the open and insecure public Internet **3** either directly or indirectly, such as through another, intermediate network like an Intranet **2**) can allow

specifically for use as a highly secure and closed private network for providing administrative or management or control functions like testing, maintenance, trouble-shooting, synchronizing files, modifying security, or operating or application system updates to the Private Units **53** of any computers (PC**1** or microchip **90** or **501**) with one or more Public Units **54** that are connected to a less secure local network **2**, such as a business or home network, that is connected to the public Internet **3**.

A particularly useful business example would be administering large numbers of local employee personal computers or network servers, and also including large arrays (especially blades) for cloud applications or supercomputer arrays with a vast multitude of microprocessors or local clusters; in the latter examples, it is possible for a centralized operator to use the private network **52** to control, securely and directly, the master controlling devices **30** or **31** or master controller unit **93** or **93'** and associated memory or other devices in the Private Units **53** of a multitude of servers, blades, or large arrays or clusters of computers that are connected to the Internet **3**. A personal use example would be to use a private network **52** to connect the private unit **53** of a personal user's smartphone to the private unit **53** of the user's computer laptop in order to update and/or synchronize data or code between the two private units **53**. To maximize security, some or all network **52** traffic can be encrypted and/or authenticated, especially if wireless **100**, including with a very high level of encryption.

In addition, in another useful example, a computer (PC**1** and/or **90** and/or **501**) can be configured so that the private non-Internet-connected network **52** can have the capability to allow for direct operational control of the Private Unit **53**, and thus the entire computer, from any location (including a remote one), which can be useful for example for businesses operating an array of servers like blades to host cloud operations or supercomputers with large numbers of microprocessors or cores.

One or more access barriers or firewalls **50a**, **50b**, or **50c** can be located between the secure private non-Internet-connected network **52** and the Private Unit **53**, providing a useful example of increased security that can be controlled using the private network **52**.

In yet another useful example, a personal user **49** can dock his smartphone (PC**1** and/or **90** and/or **501** and/or **1500**, **1501**, **1502**, or **1503**) linking through wire or wirelessly to his laptop or desktop computer (PC**1** and/or **90** and/or **501** and/or **1500**, **1501**, **1502**, or **1503**) in a network **52** connection to synchronize the Private Units **53** of those two (or more) personal computers or perform other shared operations between the Private Units **53**. In addition, the Public Units **54** of the user's multiple personal computers can be synchronized simultaneously during the same tethering process, or perform other shared operations between the Public Units **54**. Other shared operations can be performed by the two or more linked computers of the user **49** utilizing, for example, two or three or more Private Units **53**, each unit with one or more private non-Internet connected networks **52**, while two or more Public Units **54** can perform shared operations using one or more other networks **2**, including the open and insecure Internet **3**, as shown later in FIG. **6**.

Also shown in FIG. **1** for personal computer PC**1** embodiments is an optional removable memory **47** located in the Private Unit **53**; the removable memory **47** can be of any form or type or number using any form of one or more direct connections to the Private Unit **53**; a thumbdrive or SD card are typical examples, connected to USB, Firewire, SD, or other ports located in the Private Unit **53** (or other ports or

card slots of any form), which can also be used for the physical connection to the private network **52**. FIG. **1** shows as well an optional one or more removable keys **46**, of which an access key, an ID authentication key, or an encryption and/or decryption key are examples, also connected to the Private Unit **53** using any form of connection, including the above examples; both **46** and **47** can potentially be isolated from other parts of the Private Unit **53** by access barrier(s) or firewall(s) **50**, **50a**, **50b**, and/or **50c**, which can use active configuration such as field programmable gate array(s) **59**.

For microchip **90** (and/or **501**) embodiments, wireless connection is a feasible option to enable one or more removable memories **47** or one or more removable keys **46** (or combination of both), particularly for ID authentication and/or access control, utilizing the same ports described above. In addition, all or part of the Private Unit **53** of a computer PC1 and/or microchip **90** and/or **501** (or wafer **1500**, **1501**, **1502**, or **1501**) can be removable from the remaining portion of the same computer PC1 and/or microchip **90** and/or **501**, including the Public Unit **54**; the access control barrier or firewall **50** (or **50a** and/or **50b** and/or **50c**) can be removable with the Private Unit **53** or remain with Public Unit **54**.

Finally, FIG. **1** shows schematically within the dashed lines indicated the potential use anywhere in (and in any hardware component of) computer **1** and/or microchip **90** (and/or **501** and/or **1500**, **1501**, **1502**, or **1503**) of a field programmable gate array or arrays (FPGA) **59** (or other means of active hardware configuration), including in the Private Unit **53**, the Public Unit **54**, or the access barriers or firewalls **50**, **50a**, **50b**, and/or **50c** that are shown in FIGS. **2-6** and later figures, and including any such barriers or firewalls located between the Private Unit **53** and either the secure private network **52** as shown in FIG. **1** or networks **52**, **52¹**, or **52²** as shown in FIG. **6**, for example. The field programmable gate array(s) **59** can be controlled by the master controlling device **30** or **93** or **31** or **93*** using a secure control bus **48**, as discussed and shown later in this application. By using FPGA **59** thus controlled securely by the central controller, the access barrier(s) or firewall(s) can be changed at any time, such as from **50a** to **50b** or **50c** or any other change (these new access barriers or firewalls will be discussed in later figures), for example. In addition, FPGA **59** can also change, for example, any of the circuitry in the Private Unit **53** of computer **1** or microchip **90** or **501** (or any other part) while keeping any new such hardware configuration from view or control of any network intruders that may have gained access to the Public Unit **54**. Similarly, the FPGA **59** in the Public Unit **54** can be controlled to show one hardware configuration for a while and then securely change it to any other hardware configuration (such as altering access to ports or network connections, for example), either randomly or proactively or in response to a malware attack, by rebooting the new hardware configuration under control of the secure central controlling device in the Private Unit **53** of the microchip or computer and using the secure control bus **48**. In this way, a secure dynamic and proactive hardware defense for computers and microchips is possible for the first time. Other useful examples of the potential for use anywhere in computers **1** and/or microchips **90** (and/or **501** and/or **1500**, **1501**, **1502**, or **1503**) of a field programmable gate array or arrays (FPGA) **59** (or other means of active hardware configuration) is shown schematically in FIGS. **6**, **9**, and **11-17** and can be used in a similar way in FIGS. **2-6** as well.

FIG. **2** shows an example embodiment that, in terms of communication between the two Units, the Private Unit **53**

and Public Unit **54** are connected only by an inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall **50a** in the form of a secure, out-only bus (or wire) or channel **55** that transmits data or code that is output from the Private Unit **53** to be input to the Public Unit **54**. The user **49** controls the Private Unit **53**-located master controlling device **30** (or **31** or **93** or **93'**), which controls all traffic on the secure out-only bus or channel **55**. Connections between the user **49** and the master controlling device **30** (or **31** or **93** or **93'**), as well as between the master controlling device **30** (or **31** or **93** or **93'**) and any component controlled by it, can be for example hardwired on a motherboard (and/or executed in silicon on a microchip **90** and/or **501**) to provide the highest level of security.

In the example shown in FIG. **2**, there is no corresponding in-only bus or channel **56** transmitting data or code that is output from the Public Unit **54** to be input to the Private Unit **53**. By this absence of any bus or channel into the Private Unit **53**, all access from the Internet **3** or intervening network **2** to the Private Unit **53** is completely blocked on a permanent basis. Another example is an equivalent wireless connection between the two Units would require a wireless transmitter (and no receiver) in the Private Unit **53** and a receiver (and no transmitter) in the Public Unit **54**, so the Private Unit **53** can only transmit data or code to the Public Unit **54** and the Public Unit **54** can only receive data or code from the Private Unit **53** (all exclusive of external wireless transmitters or receivers of the PC1 and/or microchip **90** and/or **501**).

The Private Unit **53** can include any non-volatile memory, of which read-only memory and read/write memory of which flash memory (and hard drives and optical drives) are examples, and any volatile memory, of which DRAM (dynamic random access memory) is one common example.

An equivalent connection, such as a wireless (including radio and/or optical) connection, to the out-only bus or channel **55** between the two Units **53** and **54** would require at least one wireless transmitter in the Private Unit **53** and at least one receiver in the Public Unit **54**, so the Private Unit **53** can transmit data or code to the Public Unit **54** only (all exclusive of external wireless transmitters or receivers of the PC1 and/or microchip **90** and/or **501**).

An architecture for any computer or microchip (or nanochip) can have any number of inner hardware-based access barriers or firewalls **50a** arranged in any configuration.

FIG. **2** also shows an example embodiment of a firewall **50** located on the periphery of the computer **1** and/or microchip **90** (and/or **501**) controlling the connection between the computer and the network **2** and Internet **3**; the firewall **50** can be hardware-controlled directly by the master controlling device **30** (or **31** or **93** or **93'**), for example.

FIG. **3** is a similar useful architectural example embodiment to that shown in FIG. **2**, but with the Private Unit **53** and Public Unit **54** connected in terms of communication of data or code by an inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall **50b** example that includes a secure, out-only bus or channel **55**. The connection between units also includes an in-only bus or channel **56** that is capable of transmitting data or code that is output from the Public Unit **54** to be input into the Private Unit **53**, strictly controlled by the master controller **30** (and/or **31** and/or **93** and/or **93'**) in the Private Unit **53**. The in-only bus or channel **56** includes an input on/off switch (and/or microchip or nanochip circuit equivalent) **57** that can break the bus **56** Public to Private connection between Units, the switch **57** being controlled by the Private Unit **53**-located master controlling device **30** (or **31** or **93** or **93'**), which also controls all traffic on the in-only bus or channel **56**; the control can be hardwired.

For one example, the master controller **30** (or **31** or **93** or **93'**) can by default use the on/off switch and/or micro-circuit (or nano-circuit) equivalent **57** to break the connection provided by the in-only bus or channel **56** to the Private Unit **53** from the Public Unit **54** whenever the Public Unit **54** is connected to the Internet **3** (or intermediate network **2**). In an alternate example, the master controller **30** (or **31** or **93** or **93'**) can use the on/off switch and/or micro or nano-circuit equivalent **57** to make the connection provided by the in-only bus or channel **56** to the Private Unit **53** only when very selective criteria or conditions have been met first, an example of which would be exclusion of all input except when encrypted and from one of only a few authorized (and carefully authenticated) sources, so that Public Unit **54** input to the Private Unit **53** is extremely limited and tightly controlled from the Private Unit **53**.

Another example is an equivalent connection, such as a wireless (including radio and/or optical) connection, to the in-only bus or channel **56** with an input on/off switch **57** between the two Units **53** and **54** would require at least one wireless receiver in the Private Unit **53** and at least one transmitter in the Public Unit **54**, so the Private Unit **53** can receive data or code from the Public Unit **54** while controlling that reception of data or code by controlling its receiver, switching it either "on" when the Public Unit **54** is disconnected from external networks **2** and/or **3**, for example, or "off" when the Public Unit **54** is connected to external networks **2** and/or **3** (all exclusive of external wireless transmitters or receivers of the PC1 and/or microchip **90** and/or **501**).

An architecture for any computer and/or microchip (or nanochip) can have any number of inner hardware-based access barriers or firewalls **50b** arranged in any configuration.

FIG. 4 is a similar useful architectural example embodiment to that shown in FIGS. 2 and 3, but with Private Unit **53** and Public Unit **54** connected in terms of communication of data or code by an inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall **50c** example that also includes an output on/off switch and/or microcircuit equivalent **58** on the secure out-only bus or channel **55**, in addition to the input on/off switch and/or microcircuit (or nano-circuit) equivalent **57** on the in-only bus or channel **56**.

The output switch or microcircuit equivalent **58** is capable of disconnecting the Public Unit **54** from the Private Unit **53** when the Public Unit **54** is being permitted by the master controller **30** (or **31** or **93** or **93'**) to perform a private operation controlled (completely or in part) by an authorized third party user from the Internet **3**, as discussed previously by the applicant relative to FIG. 17D and associated textual specification of the '657 application incorporated above. The user **49** using the master controller **30** (or **31** or **93** or **93'**) always remains in preemptive control on the Public Unit **54** and can at any time for any reason interrupt or terminate any such third party-controlled operation. The master controller **30** (or **31** or **93** or **93'**) controls both on/off switches **57** and **58** and traffic (data and code) on both buses or channels **55** and **56** and the control can be hardwired.

Another example is an equivalent connection, such as a wireless connection, to the in-only bus or channel **56** and out-only bus or channel **55**, each with an on/off switch **57** and **58** between the two Units **53** and **54**, would require at least one wireless transmitter and at least one receiver in the Private Unit **53**, as well as at least one transmitter and at least one receiver in the Public Unit **54**, so the Private Unit **53** can send or receive data or code to or from the Public Unit **54** by directly controlling the "on" or "off" state of its trans-

mitter and receiver, controlling that flow of data or code depending, for example on the state of external network **2** or Internet **3** connection of the Public Unit **54** (again, all exclusive of external wireless transmitters or receivers of the PC1 and/or microchip **90** and/or **501**).

The buses **55** and/or **56** can be configured to transport control and/or data and/or code between the Units (or any components thereof) of a computer and/or microchip; and there can be separate buses **55** and/or **56** for each of control and/or data and/or code, or for a combination of two of control or data or code.

An architecture for any computer and/or microchip (or nanochip) can have any number of inner hardware-based access barriers or firewalls **50c** arranged in any configuration.

FIG. 5 shows an architectural example embodiment of a first computer (personal computer **1** and/or microchip **90** and/or **501** or wafer **1500**, or **1501**, **1502**, or **1503**) functioning as a Private Unit **53'** that is connected to at least a second computer (or to a multitude of computers, including personal computers **1** and/or microchips **90** and/or **501** or **1500**, **1501**, **1502**, or **1503**) functioning as a Public Unit or Units **54'**. The connection between the private computer **53'** and the public computer or computers **54'** is made including the same inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall **50c** architecture that includes the same buses and channels **55** and **56** with the same on/off switches **57** and **58** as previously described above in the FIG. 4 example above and can use the same hardware control. Alternatively, inner hardware-based access barriers or firewalls **50a** or **50b** can be used. In addition, inner hardware-based access barriers or firewalls **50a**, **50b**, and **50c** can be used within the first and/or second computers.

The connection between the first and second computer can be any connection, including a wired network connection like the Ethernet, for example, or a wireless network connection, similar to the examples described above in previous FIGS. 2-4. In the Ethernet example, either on/off switch **57** or **58** can be functionally replaced like in a wireless connection by control of an output transmitter or an input receiver on either bus or channel **55** or **56**; the transmitter or receiver being turned on or off, which of course amounts functionally to mere locating the on/off switches **55** or **56** in the proper position on the bus or channel **55** or **56** to control the appropriate transmitter or receiver, as is true for the examples in previous figures.

FIG. 6 shows a useful architectural example embodiment of any computer (a personal computer **1** and/or microchip **90** and/or **501** or wafer **1500**, **1501**, **1502**, or **1503**) similar to FIGS. 23A and 23B of the '657 application incorporated by reference above, which showed multiple inner firewalls **50** with progressively greater protection. FIG. 6 shows an example of an internal array of inner hardware-based access barriers or firewalls **50c**, **50b**, and **50a** (described in previous FIGS. 2-4 above) used in a specific sequence between a public unit **54** and a first private unit **53**, between the first private unit **53** and a more private second unit **53¹**, and between the more private second unit **53¹** and a most private third unit **53²**, respectively.

In addition, FIG. 6 shows a useful architectural example embodiment of one or more master controllers C (**30** or **93**) or master controllers-only (**31** or **93'**) located in the most private unit **53²**, with one or more microprocessors or processing units or "cores" S (**40** or **94**) (and/or **68** or **82**) located in the more private unit **53¹**, in the private unit **53**, and in the public unit **54**. Each of the microprocessors or

processing units or cores S can have at least one secondary controller 32 with which it can be integrated, for example.

The microprocessors S (or processing units or cores) can be located in any of the computer units, but the majority in a many core architecture can be in the public unit to maximize sharing and Internet use. Alternatively, for computers that are designed for more security-oriented applications, a majority of the microprocessors S (or processing units or cores) can be located in the private units; any allocation between the public and private units is possible. Any other hardware, software, or firmware component or components can be located in the same manner as are microprocessors S (or master controllers-only C) described above.

The one or more master controlling device (M) 30 or master controller unit 93 (or 31 or 93'), sometimes called the central controller (CC) or central processing unit (CPU), can be usefully located in any Private Unit 53, including for example as shown in FIG. 6 in Private Unit 53², or in Private Units 53¹ or 53, such as utilizing one of the secondary controllers (S) 32 (40 or 94) as the master controller (M or CC), either on a permanent or temporary basis. Particularly on a temporary basis, the master controller (M or CC) can move from one location to another, such as moving from a less private unit 53 to a more private Unit 53¹ or to a most private Unit 53² in response for example to an increased level in the threat environment or to a direct malware attack, respectively. Such movement can be effected simply by designation or assignment by a user 49 or through the Private Network 52, for example. It is even possible to locate the master controller (M or CC) in at least part of the Public Unit 54, including for example when it has its own access barrier or firewall 50 (including 50a, 50b, and/or 50c), as shown in FIG. 13, and/or when booted in a controlled manner from a Private Unit 53, on a temporary or permanent basis, such as for example when used in a particularly secure environment. Such movement of M or CC noted above can also be effected by field programmable gate array or arrays (FPGA) or another form of active hardware configuration. The existing increasing use of multiple or many microprocessors in computers from smartphones to huge server arrays and supercomputers, in the form of multiple or many processor (CPU) microchips and/or in the form of multiple or many "cores" on a processor microchip, facilitates the easy movement of the master or central controller (M or CC) within a computer 1 and/or microchip 90 (and/or 501 and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503), since the M or CC can easily be moved from one general purpose processor microchip to another and/or from one general purpose core to another (and/or potentially between hybrid graphics microchips or cores). FIG. 18 is like FIG. 6, but shows an example embodiment with the central controller (C) positioned in Private Unit 53¹ and a secondary controller (S) 32 in Private Unit 53², temporarily or permanently.

An architecture for any computer and/or microchip or nanochip can have any number of inner hardware-based access barriers or firewalls 50a and/or 50b and/or 50c arranged in any combination or configuration.

As shown in FIG. 6, the private non-Internet network 52, which was discussed previously relative to FIG. 1, can consist in an example embodiment of more than one network, with each additional non-Internet network 52 being used to connect Private Units 53², 53¹, and 53 of one computer and/or microchip to separate non-Internet networks 52², 52¹ and 52, respectively, and that are connected to Private Units 53², 53¹, and 53, respectively, of other computers and/or microchips. That is, each computer and/or

microchip Private Unit 53², 53¹, and 53 can have its own separate, non-Internet network 52², 52¹, and 52, respectively, and so that any Private Unit can be connected to other computer PC1 and/or microchip 90 (and/or 501) units of the same level of security; any Private Unit can also be subdivided into subunits of the same level of security. This is a useful embodiment example for making relatively local connections from business or home networks and scales up to large business servers, cloud, or supercomputers applications. The connections can be wired or wireless and local or non-local.

Similarly, a computer PC1 and/or microchip 90 or 501 Public Unit 54 can be subdivided into a number of different levels of security, for example, and each subdivided Public Unit 54 can have a separate, non-Internet connected network 52; and a subdivided Public Unit 54 can be further subdivided with the same level of security. In addition, any hardware component (like a hard drive or Flash memory device (and associated software or firmware), within a private (or public) unit of a given level of security can be connected by a separate non-Internet network 52 to similar components within a private (or public) unit of the same level of security.

Any configuration of access barriers or firewalls 50a and/or 50b and/or 50c can be located between any of the private non-Internet-connected networks 52², 52¹, and 52, and the Private Units 53², 53¹, and 53, respectively, providing a useful example of increased security control as shown in FIG. 6.

Also shown in the example embodiment of FIG. 6, each Private Unit 53², 53¹, and 53 can have one or more ports (or connections to one or more ports), like for a USB connection to allow for the use of one or more optional removable access and/or encryption or other keys 46, and/or one or more optional removable memory (such as a USB Flash memory thumbdrive) or other device 47, both of which as discussed previously in the text of FIG. 1, which example can also have one or more ports for either 46 and/or 47 and/or other device. The Public Unit 54 can also have one or more of any such removable devices, or ports like a USB port to allow for them.

Any data or code or system state, for example, for any Public or Private Unit 54 or 53 can be displayed to the personal user 49 and can be shown in its own distinctive color or shading or border (or any other visual or audible distinctive characteristic, like the use of flashing text). FIG. 6 shows an example embodiment of different colors indicated for each of the Units.

For embodiments requiring a higher level of security, it may be preferable to eliminate permanently or temporarily block (by default or by user choice, for example) the non-Internet network 52² and all ports or port connections in the most private unit 53².

The public unit 54 can be subdivided into an encrypted area (and can include encryption/decryption hardware) and an open, unencrypted area, as can any of the private units 53; in both cases the master central controller 30, 31, 93, or 93' can control the transfer of any or all code or data between an encrypted area and an unencrypted area considering factors such authentication.

Finally, FIG. 6 shows the potential use anywhere in computers 1 and/or microchips 90 (and/or 501 and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503) of a field programmable gate array or arrays (FPGA or other means of active hardware configuration) 59, as described under FIG. 1.

The invention example structural and functional embodiments shown in the above described FIGS. 1-6, as well as

the following FIGS. 7-16 and the associated textual specification of this application all most directly relate to the example structural and functional embodiments of the inner firewall 50 described in FIGS. 10A-10D, 10J-10Q, 17A-17D, 23A-23E, 24, 25A-25D and 27A-27G, and associated textual specification, of the above '657 application incorporated by reference.

FIG. 7 shows the fundamental security problem caused by the Internet connection to the classic Von Neumann computer hardware architecture that was created in 1945. At that time there were no other computers and therefore no networks of even the simplest kind, so network security was not a consideration in its fundamental design, which is unsafe for use when connected to an open insecure public network of enormous scale, such as the Internet.

FIGS. 8-14 are useful architectural example embodiments of the inner hardware-based access barriers or firewalls 50a, 50b, and 50c.

FIG. 8 shows a useful example embodiment of the applicant's basic architectural solution to the fundamental security problem caused by the Internet, the solution being to protect the central controller of the computer with an inner firewall 50 controlling access by the Internet, as discussed in detail in FIGS. 10A-10D and 10J-10Q, and associated textual specification of the '657 application, those specific drawing and text portions of which are incorporated by reference in this application; they were discussed as well as earlier in this application. FIG. 8 and subsequent figures describe example embodiments of a number of specific forms of an inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall 50, such as access barriers or firewalls 50a and/or 50b and/or 50c as described previously in this application; the number and potential configurations of access barriers or firewalls 50a and/or 50b and/or 50c within any computer, such as computer PC 1 and/or microchip 90 (and/or 501) is without any particular limit.

FIG. 9 is a similar embodiment to FIG. 8, but also showing a useful architectural example of a central controller integrated with a microprocessor to form a conventional general purpose microprocessor or CPU (like an Intel x86 microprocessor, for example). FIG. 8 also shows a computer PC1 and/or microchip 90 and/or 501 with many microprocessors or cores.

Finally, FIG. 9 shows the potential use anywhere in computers 1 and/or microchips 90 (and/or 501 and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503) of a field programmable gate array or arrays (FPGA) 59 (or other means of active hardware configuration), as described under FIG. 1.

FIG. 10 is the same embodiment as FIG. 9, but also shows a major functional benefit of the applicant's access barrier or firewall 50a, 50b, and 50c invention, which is to enable a function to flush away Internet malware by limiting the memory access of malware to DRAM 66 (dynamic random access memory) in the Public Unit 54, which is a useful example of a volatile memory that can be easily and quickly erased by power interruption. The flushing function use of a firewall 50 was discussed earlier in detail in FIGS. 25A-25D and associated textual specification of the '657 application and those specific drawing and text portions of the '657 application are incorporated by reference herein. After being flushed, the Public Unit 54 can be rebooted from the Private Unit 53 by the central controller using the secure control bus 48 to be discussed and shown later.

FIG. 11 is a useful example embodiment similar to FIG. 6 and shows that any computer or microchip can be partitioned into many different layers of public units 54 and private units 53 using an architectural configuration of

access barriers or firewalls 50a, 50b, and 50c; the number and arrangement of potential configurations is without any particular limit; and the number of microprocessors 40 or 94 and/or 68 or 82 in the public unit 53 can be potentially any number, including 1 or 2 or 3 or at least 4 or 8 or 16 or 32 or 64 or 128 or 256 or 512 or 1024 or many more, as could potentially be the case in prior or subsequent figures. The partition architecture provided by firewalls 50 was discussed earlier in detail in FIGS. 23A-23B and associated textual specification of the '657 application and those specific drawing and text portions are incorporated by reference herein.

Finally, FIG. 11 shows the potential use anywhere in computers 1 and/or microchips 90 (and/or 501 and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503) of a field programmable gate array or arrays (FPGA) 59 (or other means of active hardware configuration), as described under FIG. 1.

FIG. 12 is another useful architectural example embodiment of the layered use of access barriers or firewalls 50, 50c, 50b, and 50c based on a kernel or onion structure; the number of potential configurations including relative to layers or types of access barriers or firewalls is without any particular limit. This structure was discussed in detail relative to firewalls 50 in FIGS. 23D-23E and associated textual specification of the '657 application and those specific drawing and text portions are incorporated by reference herein.

FIG. 12 also shows the potential use anywhere in computers 1 and/or microchips 90 (and/or 501 and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503) of a field programmable gate array or arrays (FPGA) 59 (or other means of active hardware configuration), as described under FIG. 1.

FIG. 13 is a useful architectural example embodiment showing the presence of many FIG. 12 example embodiments with layered access barriers or firewalls 50a, 50b, and 50c structures on all of the many hardware, software, and/or firmware components of a computer; the number of FIG. 12 embodiments or their potential configurations including relative to layers or types of access barriers or firewalls is without any particular limit in either the private unit 53 or the public unit 54 of any computer or microchip. The many layered kernels structure was discussed in more detail in FIG. 23C and associated textual specification of the '657 application and those specific drawing and text portions are incorporated by reference earlier. Note that any subcomponent or kernel of the FIG. 12 example embodiment can be protected by a hardware-based access barrier 50a (or 50b or 50c or 50), a secure, out-only bus or channel 55, and therefore can for example be effectively disconnected from any input from any network, including either the secure private network 52 and the insecure public network including the Internet 3.

FIG. 13 shows the potential use anywhere in computers 1 and/or microchips 90 (and/or 501 and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503) of a field programmable gate array or arrays (FPGA) 59 (or other means of active hardware configuration), as described under FIG. 1.

FIG. 14 is a useful architectural example embodiment similar to FIG. 13, but also showing the computer PC1 and/or microchip 90 and/or 501 surrounded by a Faraday Cage 300; the number of potential similar configurations is without any particular limit. This use of Faraday Cages 300 was discussed in detail in FIGS. 27A-27G and associated textual specification of the '657 application and those specific drawing and text portions are incorporated by reference herein.

17

FIG. 14 shows a useful example embodiment of a Faraday Cage 300 surrounding completely a computer PC1 and/or microchip 90 and/or 501. The Faraday Cage 300 can be subdivided by an example partition 301 to protect and separate the Private Unit 53 from the Public Unit 54, so that the Private Unit 53 is completely surrounded by Faraday Cage 300¹ and Public Unit 54 is completely surrounded by Faraday Cage 300², in the example embodiment shown. Each unit can alternatively have a discrete Faraday Cage 300 of its own, instead of partitioning a larger Faraday Cage 300 and the surrounding of a Unit can be complete or partial. Any number or configuration of Faraday Cages can be used in the manner shown generally in FIG. 14, including a separate Faraday Cage for any hardware component of the computer or microchip. The Faraday Cages can provide protection against an external electromagnetic pulse, including massive ones, and against external surveillance or internal surveillance including between private and public portions of a computer and/or microchip or nanochip.

Finally, FIG. 14 shows the potential use anywhere in computers 1 and/or microchips 90 and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503 of a field programmable gate array or arrays (FPGA) 59 (or other means of active hardware configuration), as described under FIG. 1.

The example embodiments shown in FIGS. 1-4, 6-11, and 13-19 are a computer of any sort, including a personal computer PC1; or a microchip 90 or 501, including a microprocessor or a system on a chip (SoC) such as a personal computer on a microchip 90; or a combination of both, such as a computer with the architecture shown in FIGS. 1-4, 6-11, and 13-19, the computer also including one or more microchips also with the architecture shown in FIGS. 1-4, 6-11, and 13-19.

The Public Unit 54 shown in FIGS. 1-6, 8-11, and 13-17 can be used in a useful embodiment example to run all or a part of any application (or “apps”) downloaded from the Internet or Web, such as the example of any of the many thousands of apps for the Apple iPhone that are downloaded from the Apple Apps Store, or to run applications that are streamed from the Internet or Web. Similarly, all or part of a video or audio file like a movie or music that would otherwise be protected by digital management rights can be downloaded or streamed from the Web and played in the Public Unit 54 for viewing and/or listening by the computer user 49, while at the same time that user 49 has no control over the Public Unit 54 to copy the protected files since he can be denied access to those functions during the download or streaming.

Some or all personal data pertaining to a user 49 can be kept exclusively on the user’s computer PC1 and/or microchip 90 and/or 501 for any cloud application or app to protect the privacy of the user 49 (or kept non-exclusively as a back-up), unlike conventional cloud apps, where the data of a personal user 49 is kept in the cloud. In existing cloud architectures, user data is separated and protected only by software, not hardware, and there can be potentially shared intentionally or carelessly compromised without authorization by or knowledge of the personal user 49. In effect, the Public Unit 54 can function as a safe and private local cloud, with personal files can be operated on there using cloud apps downloaded from a cloud web site and those personal files can be retained in the Private Unit 53 after the operation is completed. All or part of an app can also potentially be downloaded or streamed to one or more Private Units, including 53², 53¹, and 53, and retained or used for local operations either in the Private Unit or in a Public Unit, in the manner that apps are currently.

18

Privacy in conventional clouds can also be significantly enhanced using the inner hardware-based access barriers or firewalls 50a and/or 50b and/or 50c described in this application, since each individual or corporate user of the cloud can be assured that their data is safe because it can be physically separated and segregated by hardware, instead of by software alone, as is the case currently.

Similarly, the example embodiment of FIG. 6 shows a computer and/or microchip Public Unit 54 and Private Units 53, 53¹, and 53², each with a separate Faraday Cage. 300⁴, 300³, 300², and 300¹, respectively, that are create using partitions 301^c, 301^b, and 301^a, respectively. Any Public Unit 54 or Private Unit 53 can be protected by its own Faraday Cage 300. The Faraday Cage 300 can completely or partially surround the any Unit in two or three dimensions.

FIGS. 8-11 and 13-14 also show example embodiments of a secure control bus (or wire or channel) 48 that connects the master controlling device 30 (or 31) or master control unit 93 (or 93’) or central controller (as shown) with the components of the computer PC1 and/or microchip 90 and/or 501, including those in the Public Unit 54. The secure control bus 48 provides hardwired control of the Public Unit 54 by the central controller in the Private Unit 53. The secure control bus 48 can be isolated from any input from the Internet 3 and/or an intervening other network 2 and/or from any input or monitoring from any or all parts of the Public Unit 54. The secure control bus 48 can provide and ensure direct preemptive control by the central controller over any or all the components of the computer, including the Public Unit 54 components. The secure control bus 48 can, partially or completely, coincide or be integrated with the bus 55, for example. The secure control bus 48 is configured in a manner such that it cannot be affected, interfered with, altered, read or written to, or superseded by any part of the Public Unit 54 or any input from the Internet 3 or network 2, for example. A wireless connection can also provide the function of the secure control bus 48 a manner similar to that describing wireless connections above in FIGS. 2-6 describing buses 55 and 56.

The secure control bus 48 can also provide connection for the central controller to control a conventional firewall or for example access barrier or firewall 50c located on the periphery of the computer or microchip to control the connection of the computer PC1 and/or microchip 90 and/or 501 to the Internet 3 and/or intervening other network 2.

The secure control bus 48 can also be used by the master central controller 30, 31, 93, or 93’ to control one or more secondary controllers 32 located on the bus 48 or anywhere in the computer PC1 and/or microchip 90 and/or 501, including in the Public Unit 54 that are used, for example, to control microprocessors or processing units or cores S (40 or 94) located in the Public Unit 54. The one or more secondary controllers 32 can be independent or integrated with the microprocessors or processing units or cores S (40 or 94) shown in FIGS. 9 and 11 above, for example; such integrated microprocessors can be a special purpose design or a common general purpose microprocessors like an Intel x86 microprocessor, for example.

FIG. 15 is an enlargement of the upper central portion of FIG. 1 showing a computer 1 and/or microchip 90 (and/or 501 and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503) and also shows a lock mechanism 51 in at least one hardware-based access barrier/firewall 50 for the transfer of data or code between units separated by access barrier/firewall 50, such as between the Private Unit 53 and the Public Unit 54.

FIG. 15 shows at least one random access memory RAM 66, which includes any volatile RAM, of which DRAM is a

common example; volatile RAM is particularly useful because of its speed and ease of erase, such as by power interruption. The at least one RAM 66 component is located in the access barrier/firewall 50 and is connected to both units like the Public and Private Units 54 and 53 separated by the access barrier/firewall 50; the connection can be made, for example, by a bus, which can be unidirectional like 55 and 56 discussed in previous figures, or bidirectional like 55/56 shown in FIG. 15.

As shown, the access barrier/firewall lock mechanism 51 includes at least one switch 58 that is located between the RAM 66 component and the Public Unit 54 and is shown in the open position so that transmission of data and/or code is interrupted or blocked between RAM 66 and Public Unit 54. In addition, the lock mechanism 51 includes at least one switch 57 that is located between the RAM 66 component and the Private Unit 53 and is shown in the closed position so that the transmission of data and/or code is enabled between RAM 66 and Private Unit 53.

FIG. 15 shows the first state of what is an either/or condition of the access barrier/firewall lock mechanism 51. In the first state shown, data and/or code can be transmitted between the at least one RAM 66 component and the Private Unit 53 in either or both directions, but is blocked in both directions between the RAM 66 component and the Public Unit 54.

Finally, FIG. 15 shows the potential use anywhere in computers 1 and/or microchips 90 and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503 of a field programmable gate array or arrays (FPGA) 59 (or other means of active hardware configuration), as described under FIG. 1.

FIG. 16 is like FIG. 15 and shows the at least one access barrier/firewall lock mechanism 51, but shows the opposite condition of both switches 57 and 58 from that shown in FIG. 15. Switch 57 is shown in the open position so that the transmission of data and/or code is interrupted or blocked between RAM 66 and the Private Unit 53. Switch 58 is shown in the closed position so that the transmission of data and/or code is enabled between RAM 66 and the Public Unit 54.

FIG. 16 thus shows the second state of the either/or condition of the access barrier/firewall lock mechanism 51. In the second state shown, data and/or code can be transmitted between the RAM 66 component and the Public Unit 54 in either or both directions, but is blocked in either direction between the RAM 66 component and the Private Unit 53.

The access barrier/firewall lock mechanism 51 can include any number of the RAM 66 components, buses 55, 56, or 55/56, and switches 57 and 58 in any useful configuration in any of the access barriers/firewalls 50 shown in other figures of this application or in the applicant's previous related applications and patents that have been incorporated by reference. Any other components of the computer or microchip can also be incorporated temporarily or permanently in any lock mechanism 51 to provide additional useful functions. Any or all of the components of the lock mechanism can be controlled through the secure control bus 48.

In a general way, the lock mechanism 51 example shown in FIGS. 15 and 16 functions like a canal lock, which enable canal boats to avoid natural river rapids and instead be safely raised or lowered to different canal water levels by opening a first canal gate to allow a boat to enter at, for example, a lower water level and then shutting that first gate. Water is then allowed to enter the canal lock from the second gate, which holds back a higher level of water on the other side

of the canal lock and which was shut when the boat first entered the canal lock. When a sufficient amount of water has entered the lock so that the water level is as high as that outside the second gate, the second gate can be opened to allow the boat to continue at the higher water level.

So in a manner like the canal lock allowing a boat to safely move between different water levels of a canal, the access barrier/firewall lock mechanism 51 allows data and/or code to move in a safely controlled fashion between different hardware-based security levels in a microchip or computer. The lock mechanism 51 allows data and/or code to be transmitted between different levels of microchip 90 (or computer 1 hardware) security, such as between a Public Unit 54 and a Private Unit 53, in a manner of transmission that can be controlled by the master controlling mechanism of the computer 1 and/or microchip 90 (and/or 501, and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503) using the secure control bus 48, for example.

The at least one lock mechanism 51 can provide other advantageous embodiments besides the either/or state described above, but the either/or state embodiment of the lock mechanism 51 described in FIGS. 15 and 16 does provide the definite benefit of allowing one and only one of either the Public Unit 54 or the Private Unit 53 to read and/or write to the RAM 66 component of the access barrier/firewall 50 at any given time, thus provide a high degree of secure control. No simultaneous access by both Units 53 and 54 would be possible with the locking mechanism 51 operating on the either/or state example shown.

The one or more access barrier/firewall lock mechanism 51 can include other computer or microchip components besides the one or more RAM 66 component shown that are useful to fulfill the lock mechanism's general function, as well as to provide other security functions between units such as screening or testing data and/or code to be transmitted between units.

The RAM 66 component of the lock mechanism 51 shown in FIGS. 15 and 16 can be controlled using the secure control bus 48, including through the memory controller of the RAM 66 component.

Finally, FIG. 16 shows the potential use anywhere in computers 1 and/or microchips 90 and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503 of a field programmable gate array or arrays (FPGA) 59 (or other means of active hardware configuration), as described under FIG. 1.

FIG. 17A is similar to FIGS. 15 and 16 and shows one or more buffer zones 350 that functions like a DMZ (demilitarized zone) or moat between a Public Unit 54 and an access barrier/firewall 50; it is a physical barrier forming a boundary or zone without circuitry between Public and Private Units 54 and 53 so that any potential backdoor connections cannot be disguised in within a highly complex pattern of circuitry on a microchip (or motherboard of a computer, such as a smart phone, tablet computer, or personal computer); except for interruptions for authorized connections like the at least one secure control bus 48 (or buses 55, 56 or 55/56), an otherwise continuous boundary completely separating two units, such as the Units 54 and 53, provides the highest level of security. FIG. 17B shows a cross section of the FIG. 17A embodiment.

The at least one buffer zone 350 can be used, for example, with benefit in either or both of the floorplan or integrated circuit layout of a microchip 90 (and/or 501 and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503), but the buffer zone 350 provides a particularly significant security enhancement particularly when used in the physical design of a microchip 90 (and/or 501 and/or 1500, 1501, 1502, or 1503). One or more buffer

zones **350** can be configured to provide a sufficient vacant space between the integrated circuits of the Public Unit **54** and the access barrier/firewall **50** (including the **50a**, **50b**, or **50c** examples) to ensure that no “backdoor” connections exist between any portions of the Public Unit **54** and the Private Unit **53**, or between any two portions of the microchip **90** (and/or **501** and/or **1500**, **1501**, **1502**, or **1503**) that are separated by an access barrier/firewall **50**. The one or more buffer zones **350** can also be used in the same or similar manner in the motherboard of a computer.

Besides the absence of integrated circuitry, the one or more buffer zones **350** can usefully be configured in three dimensions so that, somewhat like a moat or an indentation, it can interrupt multiple layers of the microchip process used in making the microchip **90** (and/or **501** and/or **1500**, **1501**, **1502**, or **1503**), including 3D designs, so that there are no backdoor connections between the Public Unit **54** and the access barrier/firewall **50** (or any other units separated by an access barrier/firewall **50**); a continuous boundary completely separating all microchip process layers between two units, such as the Units **54** and **53**, provides the highest level of security.

The one or more buffer zones **350** can be of any number or configured in any size or shape or space necessary or useful to facilitate their function or that provides a security benefit. One or more of the buffer zones **350** can be usefully located at or near the same location as a part or all of one or more Faraday Cages **300** or Faraday Cage partitions **301**, including for example fitting part or all of a boundary edge of a Faraday Cage **300** or partition **301** into a three dimensional moat-like or indented structure of the one or more buffer zones **350**.

The one or more buffer zones **350** can also be configured to protect a part or all of one or more secure control buses **48**, such as in the Public Unit **54** as shown in the FIG. **17A** example embodiment.

The one or more buffer zones **350** can be particularly useful prior to microchip packaging (or computer assembly), so that it can be visually inspected, including by microscopic scanning or other device for manual or automated (including digital) comparison to an approved template, physical or digitized, including by xray or any other useful electromagnetic wavelength. The one or more buffer zones **350** can also be configured to include, for example, a non-conductive marker material in the form of a layer that outlines the boundary of the buffer zone, for example, to enhance the accuracy and speed of a scanning validation process to ensure compliance with an approved template and to mark the microchip for ease of alignment with the template.

The width of the buffer zone **350** can be configured to be any useful width, including to provide electromagnetic radiation buffering against interference or surveillance where a Faraday Cage **300** or partition **301** are not used; the width can be, for example, at least the size of process used in making the microchip **90** (and/or **501** and/or **1500**, **1501**, **1502**, or **1503**), such as current examples like 180, 130, 90, 65, 32, or 22 nanometer processes, or multiples of any of those processes, such as at least 360 nm, 480 nm, or 720 nm, for example.

The buffer zone **350** can also be positioned between, for example, the access barrier/firewall **50** and the Private Unit **53**, and it can be incorporated into the access barrier/firewall **50**.

More than one buffer zone **350** can be used between any two units in any configuration, as shown in the FIG. **17** example, which shows also one access barrier/firewall **50** with an integrated buffer zone **350** in its central portion.

The one or more buffer zones **350** can be configured to allow planned and/or authorized buses such as **55**, **56**, and/or **55/56**, and/or one or more secure control buses **48**, for example.

Finally, FIG. **17** shows the potential use anywhere in computers **1** and/or microchips **90** and/or **1500**, **1501**, **1502**, or **1503** of a field programmable gate array or arrays (FPGA) **59** or (other means of active hardware configuration), as described under FIG. **1**.

FIG. **18** is like FIG. **6**, but shows an example embodiment with the central controller (C) positioned in Private Unit **53**¹ and a secondary controller (S) **32** in Private Unit **53**², temporarily or permanently.

In accordance with the present disclosure, a method of protecting a computer is disclosed in FIG. **19**. The computer includes a master controlling device that is configured using hardware and firmware; at least two general purpose microprocessors; a protected portion of the computer; an unprotected portion of the computer; and an inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall that is located between the protected portion of the computer and the unprotected portion of the computer, the protected portion including at least the master controlling device and at least one of the microprocessors, and the unprotected portion including at least one of the microprocessors, the at least one microprocessor of the unprotected portion being separate from and located outside of the inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall. As shown in FIG. **19**, the method includes allowing a user of the computer to control the microprocessors (**150**); connecting the protected portion of the computer through a first connection to at least a private network of computers (**152**); connecting the unprotected portion of the computer through a second connection to a public network of computers including the Internet (**154**); denying access by the hardware-based access barrier or firewall to the protected portion of the computer by the public network when the personal computer is connected to the public network (**156**); and permitting access by any other computer in the public network to the one or more of the processing units included in the unprotected portion of the computer for an operation with the any other computer in the public network when the personal computer is connected to the public network (**158**).

In accordance with the present disclosure, a method of protecting a computer disclosed in FIG. **20**. The computer includes a master controlling device that is configured using hardware and firmware; at least two general purpose microprocessors; a protected portion of the computer; an unprotected portion of the computer; and an inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall that is located between the protected portion of the computer and the unprotected portion of the computer, the protected portion including at least the master controlling device and at least one of the microprocessors, and the unprotected portion including at least one of the microprocessors, the at least one microprocessor of the unprotected portion being separate from and located outside of the inner hardware-based access barrier or firewall. As shown in FIG. **20**, the method includes connecting the protected portion of the computer through at least a first connection to at least a private network of computers (**160**); connecting the unprotected portion of the computer through a second connection to an public network of computers including the Internet (**162**); controlling the computer from the protected portion through the private network (**164**); and performing operations in the unprotected portion using the public network (**166**).

As shown in FIGS. **21A-21D**, to deal with operational and security issues, it may be optimal for individual users to

have one microprocessor or equivalent device that is designated, permanently or temporarily, to be a master **30** controlling device (comprised of hardware and/or software and/of firmware and/or other component) that remains unaccessible (preferably using a hardware and/or software and/or firmware and/or other component firewall **50**) directly by the network but which controls the functions of the other, slave microprocessors **40** when the network is not utilizing them.

For example, as shown in FIG. **21A**, a typical PC **1** might have four or five microprocessors (even on a single microprocessor chip), with one master **30** and three or four slaves **40**, depending on whether the master **30** is a controller exclusively (through different design of any component part), requiring four slave microprocessors **40** preferably; or the master microprocessor **30** has the same or equivalent microprocessing capability as a slave **40** and multiprocesses in parallel with the slave microprocessors **40**, thereby requiring only three slave microprocessors **40**, preferably. The number of PC slave microprocessors **40** can be increased to virtually any other number, such as at least about eight, about 16, about 32, about 64, about 128, about 256, about 512, about 1024, and so on (these multiples are preferred; the PC master microprocessors **30** can also be increased. Also included is the preferred firewall **50** between master **30** and slave **40** microprocessors. The PC **1** in FIG. **21A** is preferably connected to a network computer **2** and to the Internet or WWW or present or future equivalent or successor **3**, like the MetalInternet.

Other typical PC hardware components such as hard drive **61**, floppy diskette **62**, CD-ROM **63**, DVD **64**, Flash memory **65**, RAM **66**, video or other display **67**, graphics card **68**, and sound card **69**, together with the software and/or firmware stored on or for them, can be located on either side of the preferred firewall **50**, but such devices as the display **67**, graphics card **68** and sound card **69** and those devices that both read and write and have non-volatile memory (retain data without power and generally have to be written over to erase), such as hard drive **62**, Flash memory **65**, floppy drive **62**, read/write CD-ROM **63** or DVD **64** are preferred to be located on the PC user side of the firewall **50**, where the master microprocessor is also located, as shown in FIG. **21A**, for security reasons primarily. Alternately, any of these devices that are duplicative (or for other exceptional needs) like a second hard drive **61** can be located on the network side of the firewall **50**. RAM **66** or equivalent memory, which typically is volatile (data is lost when power is interrupted), should generally be located on the network side of the firewall **50**. However, at least a portion of RAM is can be kept on the master **30** microprocessor side of the firewall **50**, so that the PC user can use retain the ability to use a core of user PC **1** processing capability entirely separate from any network processing; if this capability is not desired, then the master **30** microprocessor can be moved to the network side of the firewall **50** and replaced with a simpler controller on the PC **1** user side.

And the master microprocessor **30** might also control the use of several or all other processors **60** owned or leased by the PC user, such as home entertainment digital signal processors **70**, especially if the design standards of such microprocessors in the future conforms to the requirements of network parallel processing as described above. In this general approach, the PC master processor would use the slave microprocessors or, if idle (or working on low priority, deferable processing), make them available to the network provider or others to use. Preferably, wireless connections **100** would be extensively used in home or business network systems, including use of a master remote controller **31**

without (or with) microprocessing capability, with preferably broad bandwidth connections such as fiber optic cable connecting directly to at least one component such as a PC **1**, shown in a slave configuration, of the home or business personal network system; that preferred connection would link the home system to the network **2** such as the Internet **3**.

In the simplest configuration, as shown in FIG. **21B**, the PC **1** would have a single master microprocessor **30** and a single slave microprocessor **40**, preferably separated by a firewall **50**, with both processors used in parallel or multitasking processing or with only the slave **40** so used, and preferably connected to a network computer **2** and Internet **3** (and successors like the MetalInternet). Virtually any number of slave microprocessors **40** is possible. The other non-microprocessor components shown in FIG. **21A** above might also be included in this simple FIG. **21B** configuration.

Preferably, as shown in FIG. **21C**, microprocessors **80** are expected to integrate most or all of the other necessary computer components (or their present or future equivalents or successors), like a PC's memory (RAM **66**, graphics **82**, sound **83**, power management **84**, network communications **85**, and video processing **86**, possibly including modem **87**, flash bios **88**, and other components or present or future equivalents or successors) and internal bus, on a single chip **90** (silicon, plastic, or other), known in the industry as "system on a chip". Such a PC microchip **90** would preferably have the same architecture as that of the PC **1** shown above in FIG. **21A**: namely, a master control and/or processing unit **93** and one or more slave processing units **94** (for parallel or multitasking processing by either the PC **1** or the Network **2**), preferably separated by a firewall **50** and preferably connected to a network computer **3** and the Internet **3** and successors like the MetalInternet. In the simplest case, as shown in FIG. **21D**, the chip **90** would have a single master unit **93** and at least one slave unit **94** (with the master having a controlling function only or a processing function also), preferably separated by a firewall **50** and preferably connected to a network computer **3** and the Internet **3** (and successors like the MetalInternet).

Any one or more features or components of FIGS. **1-20** of this application can be usefully combined with one or more features or components of FIGS. **1-31** of the above '657 U.S. Application or FIGS. **1-27** of the above '769 U.S. Application. Each of the above '657 and '769 Applications and their associated U.S. publications are expressly incorporated by reference in its entirety for completeness of disclosure of the applicant's combination of one or more features or components of either of those above two prior applications of this applicant with one or more features or components of this application. All such useful possible combinations are hereby expressly intended by this applicant.

Furthermore, any one or more features or components of FIGS. **1-20** of this application can be usefully combined with one or more features or components of the figures of the above '049 and '553 U.S. Applications, as well as in the above '428, '250, '141, '449, '906, '275, '020, '854, '529, '756, and '233 U.S. Patents. Each of the above '049 and '553 Applications and their associated U.S. publications, as well as the above '428, '250, '141, '449, '906, '275, '020, '854, '529, '756, and '233 U.S. Patents are expressly incorporated by reference in its entirety for completeness of disclosure of the applicant's combination of one or more features or components of either of those above two prior applications of this applicant with one or more features or

25

components of this application. All such useful possible combinations are hereby expressly intended by this applicant.

In addition, one or more features or components of any one of FIGS. 1-20 or associated textual specification of this application can be usefully combined with one or more features or components of any one or more other of FIGS. 1-20 or associated textual specification of this application. And any such combination derived from the figures or associated text of this application can also be combined with any feature or component of the figures or associated text of any of the above incorporated by reference U.S. Applications '657, '769, '049, and '553, as well as U.S. Patents Numbers '428, '250, '141, '449, '906, '275, '020, '854, '529, '756, and '233.

The invention claimed is:

1. A computer that is a personal computer, a smartphone, a tablet, a web server or a cloud server, comprising:

one or more buffer zones, each excluding circuitry;

two or more zones, each including circuitry;

the one or more buffer zones forming one or more

boundaries separating the zones including circuitry;

at least a first of the zones including circuitry includes

(a) at least one public unit, said public unit including at least one or more microprocessors configured to connect to a network of computers including the Internet and at least one separate and distinct network communications component for separate wired and/or wireless network connections to the Internet; and

(b) at least one network connection to the Internet; and

at least a second of the zones including circuitry includes at least one private unit, the at least one private unit having:

(a) at least one separate and distinct, private network connection component;

(b) at least a central processing unit microprocessor located in the at least one private unit and which is a central controller that functions as a master controlling device for the computer that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, the web server or the cloud server, and wherein said central processing unit microprocessor controls communication between the public unit and the private unit; and

at least one inner hardware-based access barrier or inner hardware-based firewall that is located between and communicatively connects said at least one private unit and the at least one public unit and is controlled by the master controlling device.

2. The computer that is the personal computer, smartphone, tablet, web server or cloud server according to claim 1, wherein the public unit and the at least one private unit are also connected at least by at least one secure control bus, the secure control bus being isolated from input from the network including the Internet.

3. The computer that is the personal computer, smartphone, tablet, web server or cloud server according to claim 2, wherein the one or more buffer zones form a continuous boundary completely separating the public unit and the at least one private unit, exclusive of the secure control bus.

4. The computer that is the personal computer, smartphone, tablet, web server or cloud server according to claim 1, wherein the public unit and the at least one private unit are connected at least by at least one in-only bus with an input on/off switch or at least one out-only bus with an output on/off switch or both of said at least one in-only bus and said at least one out-only bus.

26

5. The computer that is the personal computer, smartphone, tablet, web server or cloud server according to claim 4, wherein one or more of the buffer zones protect at least a part of at least one bus selected from said in-only bus and said out-only bus.

6. The computer that is the personal computer, smartphone, tablet, web server or cloud server according to claim 4, wherein the one or more buffer zones form a continuous boundary completely separating the public unit and the at least one private unit, exclusive of the at least one bus selected from said in-only bus and said out-only bus.

7. The computer that is the personal computer, smartphone, tablet, web server or cloud server according to claim 1, wherein one or more of the buffer zones protect at least a part of at least one secure control bus located in the public unit.

8. The computer that is the personal computer, smartphone, tablet, web server or cloud server according to claim 1, wherein one or more of the buffer zones is three dimensional.

9. The computer that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, the web server or the cloud server according to claim 1, further comprising system BIOS of the personal computer, smartphone, tablet, web server or cloud server located in the at least one private unit.

10. The computer that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, the web server or the cloud server according to claim 1 configured for control by a personal user.

11. A computer on a microchip that is a personal computer, a smartphone, a tablet, or a web or cloud server system, comprising:

one or more buffer zones, each excluding integrated circuitry;

two or more zones, each of which includes integrated circuitry;

at least a first of the zones including integrated circuitry includes at least one separate and distinct, private network connection component; and a central processing unit microprocessor for the computer on the microchip that is a central controller which functions as a master controlling device for both the microchip and the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet or the web or cloud server system,

at least a second of the zones including integrated circuitry includes one or more microprocessors configured to connect to a network of computers including the Internet and at least one separate and distinct network communication component for separate wired and/or wireless network connections with a network of computers including the Internet;

the one or more buffer zones forming one or more boundaries separating the zones including integrated circuitry; and

a secure control bus that connects at least the central processing unit microprocessor with the one or more microprocessors located in the second zone, the secure control bus being isolated from input from the network of computers including the Internet, and wherein said central processing unit microprocessor controls communication between the first of the zones including integrated circuitry and the second of the zones including integrated circuitry by controlling the secure control bus.

12. The computer on the microchip that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, the web or cloud server system according to claim 11, wherein the public unit

27

and the at least one protected private unit are connected at least by at least one in-only bus with an input on/off switch or at least one out-only bus with an output on/off switch or both of said at least one in-only bus and said at least one out-only bus.

13. The computer on a microchip that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, or the web or cloud server system according to claim 12, wherein the one or more buffer zones form a continuous boundary completely separating the public unit and the at least one private unit, exclusive of the at least one bus selected from said in-only bus and said out-only bus.

14. The computer on the microchip that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, or the web or cloud server system according to claim 11, wherein one or more of the buffer zones protect at least a part of at least one secure control bus located in the public unit.

15. The computer on a microchip that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, or the web or cloud server system according to claim 14, wherein one or more of the buffer zones protect at least a part of at least one said secure control bus.

16. The computer on a microchip that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, or the web or cloud server system according to claim 11, wherein a width of one or more of the buffer zones is at least a size of a process used in making the microchip or a multiple of the size of the process.

17. The computer on a microchip that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, or the web or cloud server system according to claim 11, wherein one or more of the buffer zones is three dimensional so that it can interrupt multiple layers of a microchip process.

18. The computer on a microchip that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, or the web or cloud server system according to claim 17, wherein one or more of the buffer zones is three dimensional so that it can interrupt multiple layers of a 3D microchip.

19. The computer on a microchip that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, or the web or cloud server system according to claim 11, wherein one or more of the buffer zones includes a non-conductive marker material that outlines a boundary of the buffer zone.

20. The computer on a microchip that is personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, or the web or cloud server system according to claim 11, wherein the one or more buffer zones form a continuous boundary completely separating the public unit and the at least one private unit, exclusive of the secure control bus.

21. The computer on a microchip that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, or the web or cloud server system according to claim 11, wherein the one or more buffer zones form a continuous boundary completely separating the public unit and the at least one private unit, exclusive of the secure control bus.

22. The computer on a microchip that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, or the web or cloud server system according to claim 11, wherein the one or more buffer zones isolate at least in part the secure control bus from input from the network including the Internet.

23. A computer on a microchip that is personal computer, a smartphone, a tablet, or a web or cloud server system, comprising:

- one or more buffer zones, each excluding integrated circuitry;
- two or more zones, each including integrated circuitry;
- and

28

the one or more buffer zones forming one or more boundaries separating the zones including integrated circuitry;

at least a first of the zones including integrated circuitry includes:

(a) at least one public unit including one or more microprocessors configured to connect to a network of computers including the Internet and at least one separate and distinct network communication component for separate wired and/or wireless network connections to the Internet; and

(b) at least one network connection to the Internet; and at least a second of the zones including integrated circuitry includes at least one private unit, the at least one private unit having:

(a) at least one separate and distinct, private network connection component; and

(b) at least a central processing unit microprocessor located in the private unit and which is a central controller that functions as a master controlling device for the computer on the microchip that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, or the web or cloud server and wherein said central processing unit microprocessor controls communication between the public unit and the private unit; and

at least one inner hardware-based access barrier or inner hardware-based firewall is located between and communicatively connects said at least one private unit and the at least one public unit and is controlled by the master controlling device.

24. The computer on a microchip that is the personal computer, the smartphone, the tablet, or the web or cloud server system on the microchip according to claim 23, wherein the public unit and the at least one private unit are also connected by at least one secure control bus; the secure control bus being isolated from input from the network including the Internet.

25. A computer that is a personal computer, a smartphone, a tablet, a web server or a cloud server, comprising:

- one or more buffer zones, each excluding circuitry;
- two or more zones, each including circuitry; and
- the one or more buffer zones forming one or more boundaries separating the zones including circuitry;

at least a first of the zones including circuitry includes at least one separate and distinct, private network connection component, and a central processing unit microprocessor that is a central controller which functions as a master controlling device for the computer that is the personal computer, smartphone, tablet, web server or cloud server,

at least a second of the zones including circuitry includes a network connection and one or more microprocessors configured to connect to a network of computers including the Internet and at least one separate and distinct network communication component for separate wired and/or wireless network connections to the Internet, and

a secure control bus that connects at least the central processing unit microprocessor of the computer with the one or more microprocessors, the secure control bus being isolated from input from the network of computers including the Internet in the second zone and said central processing unit microprocessor controls communication between the first of the zones including

integrated circuitry and the second of the zones including integrated circuitry by controlling the secure control bus.

* * * * *




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3





FIG. 4



FIRST 1 AND/OR 90 AND/OR 501 AND /OR 1500, 1501, 1502 OR 1503

FIG. 5

SECOND 1 AND/OR 90 AND/OR 501 AND /OR 1500, 1501, 1502 OR 1503






FIG. 6



THE PROBLEM: INTERNET MALWARE HAS POTENTIAL ACCESS
TO ENTIRE COMPUTER TO CONTROL ANY PART OR ALL OF IT

FIG. 7



THE MOST BASIC SOLUTION: CENTRAL CONTROLLER IS HARDWARE PROTECTED TO BE INACCESSIBLE FROM INTERNET & CONTROLS ENTIRE COMPUTER

FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10

MATRIX OF MULTIPLE INNER FIREWALLS CAN CREATE MANY SEPARATE COMPARTMENTS

NEW INTERNET-SECURE
COMPUTER HARDWARE ARCHITECTURE




FIG. 11

NEW INTERNET-SECURE
COMPUTER HARDWARE ARCHITECTURE

ANY COMPUTER COMPONENT CAN BE SUBDIVIDED INTO KERNEL AND OTHER
SUBCOMPONENTS PROTECTED BY SUCCESSIVE FIREWALL LAYERS





FIG. 12

NEW INTERNET-SECURE
COMPUTER HARDWARE ARCHITECTURE

COMPUTER CAN BE PERSONAL COMPUTER SYSTEM ON A CHIP (SOC) MICROCHIP
WITH MANY PROCESSING CORES (C) AND ASSOCIATED RAM (M), EACH WITH INNER FIREWALL(S)



SURROUNDS COMPUTER TO PROTECT AGAINST ELECTROMAGNETIC PULSE (EMP),
INTERFERENCE FROM OTHER COMPONENTS & SURVEILLANCE

FIG. 14




FIG. 15





FIG. 16

**FIG. 19**




FIG. 20




FIG. 21A




FIG. 21B




FIG. 21C




FIG. 21D

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 10,057,212 B2
APPLICATION NO. : 14/174693
DATED : August 21, 2018
INVENTOR(S) : Frampton E. Ellis

Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

On the Title Page

Domestic Priority data should read:
14/174,693 02/16/14 PAT 10,057,212
is a CON of 13/815,814 03/15/2013 PAT 8898768
which is a CON of 13/398,403 02/16/2012 PAT 8429735
which claims benefit of 61/457,297 02/18/2011
and claims benefit of 61/457,976 07/26/2011
and claims benefit of 61/457,983 07/28/2011
and claims benefit of 61/573,006 08/02/2011
and claims benefit of 61/573,007 08/03/2011
and is a CIP of 13/014,201 01/26/2011 ABN
which claims benefit of 61/282,337 01/26/2010
and claims benefit of 61/282,378 01/29/2010
and claims benefit of 61/282,478 02/17/2010
and claims benefit of 61/282,503 02/22/2010
and claims benefit of 61/282,861 04/12/2010
and claims benefit of 61/344,018 05/07/2010
and claims benefit of 61/457,184 01/24/2011
and said 13/398,403 02/16/2012 PAT 8429735
is a CIP of 13/016,527 01/28/2011 PAT 8171537
which claims benefit of 61/282,378 01/29/2010
and claims benefit of 61/282,478 02/17/2010
and claims benefit of 61/282,503 02/22/2010
and claims benefit of 61/282,861 04/12/2010
and claims benefit of 61/344,018 05/07/2010
and claims benefit of 61/457,184 01/24/2011

Signed and Sealed this
Second Day of October, 2018



Andrei Iancu
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office